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An exchangeable pair approach is commonly taken in the normal and non-normal approximation using Stein’s method. It has been successfully used to identify the limiting distribution and provide an error of approximation. However, when the difference of the exchangeable pair is not bounded by a small deterministic constant, the error bound is often not optimal. In this paper, using the exchangeable pair approach of Stein’s method, a new Berry-Esseen bound for an arbitrary random variable is established without a bound on the difference of the exchangeable pair. An optimal convergence rate for normal and non-normal approximation is achieved when the result is applied to various examples including the quadratic forms, general Curie-Weiss model, mean field Heisenberg model and colored graph model.

1. Introduction. Let \( W_n \) be a sequence of random variables under study. Using the exchangeable pair approach of Stein’s method, Chatterjee and Shao [9] and Shao and Zhang [27], provided a concrete tool to identify the limiting distribution of \( W_n \) as well as the \( L_1 \) bound (the Wasserstein distance) of the approximation. Our aim in this paper is to establish the Berry-Esseen type bound for the approximation.

Write \( W = W_n \) and let \((W,W')\) be an exchangeable pair, that is, \((W,W')\) and \((W',W)\) have the same joint distribution. Put \( \Delta = W - W' \). For the normal approximation, assume that

\[ E(\Delta | W) = \lambda(W + R). \]

Then, by Stein [28] (see also Proposition 2.4 in Chen, Goldstein and Shao [12]), for any absolutely continuous function \( h \) with \( \|h'\| < \infty \),

\[ |Eh(W) - Eh(Z)| \leq 2\|h'\|\left( E|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}E(\Delta^2 | W )| + \frac{1}{\lambda}E|\Delta|^3 + E|R| \right). \]
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Here and in the sequel, \( Z \) denotes the standard normal random variable. For the Berry-Esseen bound, we have

\[
(1.1) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z) \right| \leq E\left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + E|R| + \left( \frac{E|\Delta|^3}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2},
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the standard normal distribution function. If in addition \( |\Delta| \leq \delta \) for some constant \( \delta \), then by Rinott and Rotar [24] (see also Shao and Su [25]),

\[
(1.2) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z) \right| \leq E\left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + E|R| + 1.5\delta + \delta^3/\lambda.
\]

It is known that (1.1) usually fails to provide an optimal bound. Similarly, the bound in (1.2) may not be optimal unless \( \delta \) is small enough. Hence, it would be interesting to seek an optimal Berry-Esseen bound for an unbounded \( \Delta \). To this end, Chen and Shao [13] established the following Berry-Esseen bound:

\[
sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z) \right| \leq E|R| + \frac{1}{4\lambda} E(|W| + 1)|\Delta^3| + (1 + \tau^2)\left( 4(1 + \tau)\lambda^{1/2} + 6E\left| \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) - 1 \right| + \frac{2}{E[\Lambda]} E|\Lambda - E[\Lambda]| \right),
\]

where \( \Lambda \) is any random variable such that \( \Lambda \geq E(\Delta^4 | W) \) and \( \tau = \sqrt{E(\Lambda)/\lambda} \). They obtained an optimal Berry-Esseen bound when the result was applied to an independence tests by sums of squared sample correlation functions. However, (1.3) is still too complicated in general.

For the non-normal approximation, Chatterjee and Shao [9] developed similar results for both the \( L_1 \) bound and Berry-Esseen bound.

The exchangeable pair approach of Stein’s method has been widely used in the literature. For example, Chatterjee and Meckes [8], Reinert and Röllin [22] and Meckes [21] established the \( L_1 \) bounds for multivariate normal approximation, and Chatterjee [4] and Chatterjee and Dey [7] obtained the concentration inequalities. We refer to Chen, Goldstein and Shao [12] and Chatterjee [6] for recent developments on Stein’s method.

In this paper, we establish a new Berry-Esseen type bound for normal and non-normal approximation via exchangeable pairs. The bound is as simple as

\[
E\left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + E|E(\Delta | \Delta | W)| | + E|R|,
\]
which yields an optimal bound in many applications.

The paper is organized as follows. The main results are presented in Section 2. Section 3 gives applications to the quadratic forms, general Curie-Weiss model, mean field Heisenberg model and colored graph model. The proof of the main results is given in Section 4. Other proofs of applications are postponed to Section 5.

2. Main results. In this section, we establish Berry-Esseen bounds for normal and non-normal approximation via the exchangeable pair approach without the boundedness assumption.

2.1. Normal approximation. We first present a new Berry-Esseen bound for normal approximation, which is a refinement of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \((W,W')\) be an exchangeable pair satisfying

\[
E(\Delta \mid W) = \lambda(W + R),
\]

for some constant \(\lambda \in (0, 1)\) and random variable \(R\), where \(\Delta = W - W'\).

Then,

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq E\left|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}E(\Delta^2 \mid W)\right| + E|R| + \frac{1}{\lambda}E|E(\Delta\Delta^* \mid W)|,
\]

where \(\Delta^* := \Delta^*(W,W')\) is any random variable satisfying \(\Delta^*(W,W') = \Delta^*(W',W)\) and \(\Delta^* \geq |\Delta|\).

The following two corollaries may be useful.

**Corollary 2.1.** If \(|\Delta| \leq \delta\) and \(E|W| \leq 2\), then

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq E\left|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}E(\Delta^2 \mid W)\right| + E|R| + 3\delta.
\]

Notice that the term \(\delta^3/\lambda\) in (1.2) does not appear in the preceding corollary. One can check that under \(|\Delta| \leq \delta\),

\[
\min \left(1, E\left|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}E(\Delta^2 \mid W)\right| + \delta\right) \leq 2 \min \left(1, E\left|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}E(\Delta^2 \mid W)\right| + \delta^3/\lambda\right).
\]

Hence, **Corollary 2.1** is an improvement of (1.2) at the cost of assuming \(E|W| \leq 2\), which is easily satisfied.
It follows from the Cauchy inequality that for any \( a > 0 \),
\[
| \Delta | \leq \frac{a}{2} + \frac{\Delta^2}{(2a)}.
\]
Thus, we can choose \( \Delta^* = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{\Delta^2}{(2a)} \) with a proper constant \( a \) and obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** Assume that \( E|W| \leq 2 \). Then, under the condition of Theorem 2.1,
\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} | P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z) | 
\leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 | W) \right| + E|R| + 2 \sqrt{\frac{E[E(\Delta^3 | W)]}{\lambda}}.
\]

Clearly, \( E[E(\Delta^3 | W)] \leq E[|\Delta|^3] \). Hence, Corollary 2.2 improves (1.1). In fact, Corollary 2.2 could yield an optimal bound while (1.1) may not.

### 2.2. Non-normal approximation

In this subsection, we focus on the Berry-Esseen bound for non-normal approximation.

Let \( W \) be a random variable satisfying \( P(a < W < b) = 1 \) where \( -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty \). Let \( (W, W') \) be an exchangeable pair satisfying
\[
E \left( W - W' \Big| W \right) = \lambda(g(W) + R),
\]
where \( g \) is a measurable function with domain \( (a, b) \), \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) and \( R \) is a random variable.

Assume that \( g \) satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) \( g \) is non-decreasing, and there exists \( w_0 \in (a, b) \) such that \( (w-w_0)g(w) \geq 0 \) for \( w \in (a, b) \);

(A2) \( g' \) is continuous and \( 2(g'(w))^2 - g(w)g''(w) \geq 0 \) for all \( w \in (a, b) \); and

(A3) \( \lim_{y \downarrow a} g(y)p(y) = \lim_{y \uparrow b} g(y)p(y) = 0 \), where
\[
p(y) = c_1 e^{-G(y)}, \quad G(y) = \int_{w_0}^{y} g(t)dt,
\]
and \( c_1 \) is the constant so that \( \int_{a}^{b} p(y)dy = 1 \).

Let \( Y \) be a random variable with the probability density function (p.d.f.) \( p(y) \), and let \( \Delta = W - W' \).
Theorem 2.2. We have
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - P(Y \leq z)| \\
\leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta_2^2 | W) \right| + \frac{1}{\lambda} E |E(\Delta \Delta^* | W)| + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} E |R|,
\]
(2.4)
where $\Delta^* := \Delta^*(W, W')$ is any random variable satisfying $\Delta^*(W, W') = \Delta^*(W', W)$ and $\Delta^* \geq |\Delta|$.

To make the bound meaningful, one should choose $\lambda \sim (1/2)E(\Delta_2^2)$. It is easy to see that $g(w) = w$ satisfies conditions (A1)–(A3). More generally, (A1)–(A3) are also satisfied for $g(w) = w^{2k-1}$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer.

3. Applications. In this section, we give some applications for our main result.

3.1. Quadratic forms. We first consider a classical example as a simple application. Suppose $X_1, X_2, \cdots$ are i.i.d. random variables with a zero mean, unit variance and a finite fourth moment. Let $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be a real symmetric matrix and let $W_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$. The central limit theorem for $W_n$ has been extensively discussed in the literature. For example, de Jong [14] used $U$-statistics and proved a central limit theorem for $W_n$ when
\[
\sigma_n^{-4}\text{Tr}(A^4) \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^{-2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij}^2 \to 0,
\]
where $\sigma_n^2 = 2\text{Tr}(A^2) = \text{Var}(W_n)$. An $L_1$ bound was given by Chatterjee [5] while Götze and Tikhomirov [19] gave a Kolmogorov distance with a convergence rate $\lambda_1/\sigma_n$, where $\lambda_1$ the largest absolute eigenvalue of $A$.

Here, we apply Theorem 2.1 and obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X_1, X_2, \cdots$ be i.i.d. random variables with a zero mean, unit variance and a finite fourth moment. Let $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be a real symmetric matrix with $a_{ii} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sigma_n^2 = 2\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2$. Put $W_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} X_i X_j$. Then,
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \\
\leq CE X_1^4 \left( \sqrt{\sum_i \left( \sum_j a_{ij}^2 \right)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2} \right),
\]
(3.1)
where $C$ is an absolute constant.
It is easy to check that
\[ \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2 = \text{Tr}(A^4), \]
and
\[ \sum_i \left( \sum_j a_{ij}^2 \right)^2 \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_j a_{ij}^2 \sigma_n^2 \leq \lambda_1^2 \sigma_n^2, \]
which means that the first term in (3.1) is less than the bound $\lambda_1 / \sigma_n$ given in Theorem 1 of Götze and Tikhomirov [19]. However, comparing it with the $L_1$ bound given in Chatterjee [5], we conjecture that the bound in (3.1) can be improved to
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_i \left( \sum_j a_{ij}^2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2} \right). \]

3.2. General Curie-Weiss model. The Curie-Weiss model has been extensively discussed in the statistical physics field. The asymptotic behavior for the Curie-Weiss model was studied by Ellis and Newman [15, 16, 17]. Recently, Stein’s method has been used to obtain the convergence rate of the Curie-Weiss model. For example, Chatterjee and Shao [9] used exchangeable pairs to get a Berry-Esseen bound at the critical temperature of the simplest Curie-Weiss model, where the magnetization was valued on $\{-1, 1\}$ with equal probability; and Chen, Fang and Shao [11] and Shao, Zhang and Zhang [26] established the Cramér type moderate deviation result for non-critical and critical temperature, respectively. More generally, when the magnetization was distributed as a measure $\rho$ with a finite support, Chatterjee and Dey [7] obtained an exponential probability inequality. In this subsection, we apply Theorem 2.1 to establish a Berry-Esseen bound for the general Curie-Weiss model.

Let $\rho$ be a probability measure satisfying
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d\rho(x) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 d\rho(x) = 1. \]

$\rho$ is said to be type $k$ (an integer) with strength $\lambda_\rho$ if
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^j d\Phi(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^j d\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } j = 0, 1, ..., 2k - 1, \\ \lambda_\rho > 0, & \text{for } j = 2k, \end{cases} \]
where \( \Phi(x) \) is the standard normal distribution function.

We define the Curie-Weiss model as follows. For a given measure \( \rho \), let \((X_1, \ldots, X_n)\) have the joint p.d.f.

\[
dP_{n,\beta}(x) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \exp \left( \frac{\beta(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d\rho(x_i),
\]

where \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \) and \( Z_n \) is the normalizing constant.

Let \( \xi \) be a random variable with probability measure \( \rho \). Moreover, assume that

(i) for \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), there exists a constant \( b > \beta \) such that

\[
E e^{t\xi} \leq e^{t^2/2}, \quad \text{for } -\infty < t < \infty;
\]

(ii) for \( \beta = 1 \), there exist constants \( b_0 > 0, b_1 > 0 \) and \( b_2 > 1 \) such that

\[
E e^{t\xi} \leq \begin{cases} 
\exp \left( t^2/2 - b_1 t^{2k} \right), & \text{if } |t| \leq b_0, \\
\exp \left( b_2 t^2 \right), & \text{if } |t| > b_0.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n \). Ellis and Newman [16, 17] showed that

(i) if \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), then \( n^{-1/2} S_n \) converges to a normal distribution \( \mathcal{N}(0, (1 - \beta)^{-1}) \); and

(ii) if \( \beta = 1 \), and \( \rho \) is of type \( k \), then \( n^{-1+\frac{1}{2k}} S_n \) converges to a non-normal distribution with p.d.f.

\[
p(y) = c_1 e^{-c_2 y^{2k}},
\]

where \( c_2 > 0 \) and \( c_1 \) is the normalizing constant.

The following theorem provides the rate of convergence.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \((X_1, \ldots, X_n)\) follow the joint p.d.f. (3.3), where \( \rho \) satisfies (3.2).

(i) If \( 0 < \beta < 1 \) and (3.4) is satisfied, then for \( W_n = n^{-1/2} S_n \), we have

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \leq z) - P(Y_1 \leq z)| \leq C n^{-1/2}.
\]

where \( Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{1-\beta}) \) and \( C \) is a constant depending on \( b \) and \( \beta \).
(ii) If $\beta = 1$, $\rho$ is of type $k$ and (3.5) is satisfied, then for $W_n = n^{-1 + \frac{1}{2\pi}}S_n$, we have

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \leq z) - P(Y_k \leq z)| \leq C n^{-\frac{1}{2k}}, \tag{3.7}
\]

where $C$ is a constant depending on $b_0, b_1, b_2$ and $k$; the density function of $Y_k$ is given by

\[
p(y) = c_1 e^{-c_2 y^{2k}}, \quad c_2 = \frac{H^{(2k)}(0)}{(2k)!};
\]

and $c_1$ is the normalizing constant and $H(s) = s^2/2 - \ln(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(sx)\,d\rho(x))$.

3.3. Mean field Heisenberg model. The Heisenberg model is a statistical model for the phenomena of ferromagnetism and antiferromagnetism in the study of magnetism theory.

Let $G_n$ be a finite complete graph with $n$ vertices. At each site of the graph is a spin in $S^2$, so the state space is $\Omega_n = (S^2)^n$ with $P_n$ the $n$-fold product of the uniform probability measure on $S^2$. The mean field Hamiltonian energy of the Heisenberg model $H_n : \Omega_n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is

\[
H_n(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle,
\]

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The Gibbs measure $P_{n,\beta}$ is given by the density function

\[
dP_{n,\beta} = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\beta}} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle\right) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\beta}} \exp(-\beta H_n(\sigma)),
\]

where $Z_{n,\beta} = \int_{\Omega_n} \exp(-\beta H_n(\sigma))\,dP_n$.

Consider the random variable

\[
W_n = \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\beta^2}{n^2 \kappa^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\sigma_j|^2 - 1\right), \tag{3.8}
\]

where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\kappa$ is the solution to the equation

\[
x/\beta = (\coth(x) - 1/x).
\]

Let $\psi(x) = \coth(x) - 1/x$ and

\[
B^2 = \frac{4\beta^2}{(1 - \beta\psi'(\kappa))\kappa^2}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\kappa)}\right). \tag{3.10}
\]
Kirkpatrick and Meckes [20] showed that when $\beta > 3$, $W_n/B$ converges to a standard normal distribution with an $L_1$ bound $O(\log(n)n^{-1/4})$. They also showed that when $\beta = 3$, the random variable $T_n = c_3n^{-3/2}\sum_j |\sigma_j|^2$, where $c_3$ is a constant such that the variance of $T_n$ is 1, converges in distribution to $Y$ with the density function
\[ p(y) = \begin{cases} Cy^5e^{-3y^2/(5c_3)}, & y \geq 0, \\ 0, & y < 0, \end{cases} \]
where $C$ is the normalizing constant.

The following theorem gives a Berry-Esseen bound for the case $\beta > 3$. The case $\beta = 3$ will be studied in another paper.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $W_n$ be the random variable defined as in (3.8) and $B$ as in (3.10) with $\beta > 3$. Then, we have
\[ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n/B \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq c_\beta n^{-1/2}, \]
where $c_\beta$ is a constant depending on $\beta$.

### 3.4 Counting monochromatic edges in uniformly colored graphs

The study of monochromatic and heterochromatic subgraphs of an edge-colored graph dates back to the 1960s, and the last two decades has witnessed a significant development in the study of normal and Poisson approximation. Barbour, Holst and Janson [2] used Stein’s method to show that the number of monochromatic edges for the complete graph converges to a Poisson distribution. Arratia, Goldstein and Gordon [1] applied Stein’s method to prove a Poisson approximation theorem for the number of monochromatic cliques in a uniform coloring of the complete graph. We refer to Chatterjee Diaconis and Meckes [10] and Cerquetti and Fortini [3] for other related results.

In this subsection, we consider normal approximation for the counting of monochromatic edges in uniformly colored graphs. Let $G = \{V(G), E(G)\}$ be a simple undirected graph, where $V(G) = \{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$ is the vertex set and $E(G)$ is the edge set. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let
\[ A_i = \{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i, (v_i, v_j) \in E(G)\} \]
be the neighbourhood of index $i$ and $d_i = \#(A_i)$ be the number of edges connected to $v_i$. Denote the total number of edges in $G$ by $m_n$, which is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i/2$. Each vertex is colored independently and uniformly
with \( c_n \geq 2 \) colors, denoted by \( \xi_i \) the color of \( v_i \). Let \( Y_n \) be the number of monochromatic edges in \( G_n \). Rinott and Rotar [23] proved the central limit theorem for \( Y_n \) while Fung [18] obtained the Wasserstein distance with an order of \( \sqrt{c_n/m_n} + c_n^{-1/2} \). The following theorem provides a Berry-Esseen bound.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let

\[
W_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{I}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_n/c_n}} (1 - \frac{1}{c_n}),
\]

Then,

\[
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \in z) - \Phi(z)| \leq C(\sqrt{1/c_n} + \sqrt{d^*_n/m_n} + \sqrt{c_n/m_n}),
\]

where \( C \) is an absolute constant and \( d^*_n = \max \{d_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \).

### 4. Proof of main results.

As the normal approximation is a special case of the non-normal approximation, we prove Theorem 2.2 only. The only difference for the normal approximation is that the Stein’s solution can be bounded by 1 instead of \( \sqrt{2\pi} \).

Let \( Y \) be the random variable with the p.d.f. \( p(y) \) defined in (2.3). For a given \( z \), let \( f := f_z \) be the solution to the following Stein equation:

\[
f'(w) - g(w)f(w) = \mathbb{I}_{\{w \leq z\}} - F(z), \quad z \in (a, b),
\]

where \( F \) is the distribution function of \( Y \). It is known (see, e.g., Chatterjee and Shao [9]) that

\[
f_z(w) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{F(w)(1-F(z))}{p(w)} & w \leq z, \\
\frac{F(z)(1-F(w))}{p(w)} & w > z.
\end{cases}
\]

We first prove some basic properties of \( f_z \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that conditions (A1)–(A3) are satisfied. Then,

\[
0 \leq f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1,
\]

\[
\|f'_z\| \leq 1,
\]

\[
\|gf_z\| \leq 1,
\]

and

\[
g(w)f_z(w) \text{ is non-decreasing.}
\]
We remark that when \( g(w) = w \), i.e., for the normal approximation, it is known that \( 0 \leq f_z(w) \leq 1 \) (see, e.g., Lemma 2.3 in Chen, Goldstein and Shao [12]).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we assume that \( a < 0 < b \) and \( w_0 = 0 \); thus, \( p(0) = c_1 \). For \( w \leq z \), define \( H_z(w) = F(w)(1 - F(z)) - p(w)/c_1 \). To prove (4.3), noting that \( f_z(w) \geq 0 \), it suffices to show that \( \sup_{a < w < b} H_z(w) \leq 0 \).

As \( g(w) \) is non-decreasing, by the fact that \( H_z'(w) = p(w)(1 - F(z) + g(w)/c_1) \),

\[
\sup_{a < w \leq z} H_z(w) = \max\{H_z(a), H_z(z)\}.
\]

Clearly, \( H_z(a) = -p(a)/c_1 \leq 0 \). Now we prove \( \sup_{a < z < b} H_z(z) \leq 0 \). If \( z \leq 0 \), define \( H_1(z) = F(z) - p(z)/c_1 \) and thus \( H_1'(z) = p(z)(1 + g(z)/c_1) \). Note that \( g(z) \leq 0 \) and \( g(\cdot) \) is non-decreasing, then,

\[
\sup_{a < z \leq 0} H_z(z) \leq \sup_{a < z \leq 0} H_1(z) \leq \max\{H_1(a), H_1(0)\} \leq 0.
\]

Using a similar argument, we also have \( \sup_{0 \leq z < b} H_z(z) \leq 0 \). Therefore, \( \sup_{a < z < b} H_z(z) \leq 0 \). This proves \( \sup_{a < w \leq z} f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1 \). Similarly, we have \( \sup_{z < w < b} f_z(w) \leq 1/c_1 \).

A similar argument can be made for \( w > z \). This completes the proof of (4.3).

We next show that \( gf_z \) is non-decreasing. For \( w \leq z \), by (4.2),

\[
g(w)f_z(w) = \frac{g(w)F(w)(1 - F(z))}{p(w)},
\]

and thus,

\[
(g(w)f_z(w))' = (1 - F(z))(g(w) + (g'(w) + g^2(w))F(w)/p(w)).
\]

Let \( \tau(w) = \frac{g(w)e^{-G(w)}}{g'(w) + g^2(w)} \). Then, by (A2),

\[
-\tau'(w)e^{G(w)} = 1 - \left( \frac{2g'(w)^2 - g''(w)g(w)}{(g'(w) + g^2(w))^2} \right) \leq 1.
\]

Hence,

\[
e^{-G(w)} + \tau'(w) \geq 0
\]

and

\[
0 \leq \int_a^w (\tau'(t) + e^{-G(t)}) \, dt = \tau(w) + \frac{1}{c_1} F(w) - \lim_{y \downarrow a} \tau(y).
\]
By condition (A3), \( \lim_{y \downarrow a} \tau(y) = 0 \) and hence \( \tau(w) + \frac{1}{c_1} F(w) \geq 0 \). This proves that \((g(w)f_z(w))' \geq 0\) or \(g(w)f_z(w)\) is non-decreasing for \(w \leq z\). Similarly, one can prove that \(g(w)f_z(w)\) is non-decreasing for \(w \geq z\). This proves (4.6).

To prove (4.5), by (A1), we have for \(w \geq \max(z,0)\),

\[
g(w)f_z(w) = \frac{F(z)g(w) \int_0^b p(t)dt}{p(w)} \leq \frac{F(z) \int_w^b e^{-G(t)} g(t)dt}{e^{-G(w)}} \leq F(z).
\]

Similarly, we have \(g(w)f_z(w) \geq -(1 - F(z))\) for \(w \leq \min(0,z)\). Combining with (4.6) yields

\[
(4.7) \quad F(z) - 1 \leq g(w)f_z(w) \leq F(z)
\]

for all \(w\). This proves (4.5).

The inequality (4.4) follows immediately from (4.1) and (4.7).

\[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Let \(f = f_z\) be the solution to the Stein equation (4.1). Since \((W, W')\) is an exchangeable pair, by (2.2), we have

\[
0 = E \left( (W - W')(f(W) + f(W')) \right) = 2E \left( (W - W')(f(W) - f(W')) \right) = 2\lambda E (g(W)f(W)) + 2\lambda E (Rf(W)) - E \left( \Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 f'(W + t)dt \right),
\]

and hence,

\[
E (g(W)f(W)) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 f'(W + t)dt \right) - E (Rf(W)).
\]

Thus,

\[
E(f'(W) - g(W)f(W)) = E \left( f'(W) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 |W|) \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta \int_{-\Delta}^0 (f'(W + t) - f'(W))dt \right) + E(Rf(W)).
\]

By (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4),

\[
(4.8) \quad |P(W \leq z) - P(Y \leq z)| = |E(f'(W) - g(W)f(W))| \\
\leq |I_1| + 2E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 |W|) \right| + \frac{1}{c_1} E|R|,
\]
Thus, for any $\Delta$ (4.10)
\[
I_1 = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta \int_{-\Delta}^{0} (f'(W + t) - f'(W)) dt \right).
\]

Recalling that $f$ is the solution to (4.1), we have
\[
(4.9) \quad I_1 = \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta \int_{-\Delta}^{0} (g(W + t)f(W + t) - g(W)f(W)) dt \right)
+ \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta \int_{-\Delta}^{0} (\mathbb{I}_{\{W + t < z\}} - \mathbb{I}_{\{W < z\}}) dt \right).
\]

Noting that $g(w)f(w)$ is non-decreasing by Lemma 4.1 and that the indicator function $\mathbb{I}_{\{w < z\}}$ is non-increasing, we have
\[
0 \geq \int_{-\Delta}^{0} (g(W + t)f(W + t) - g(W)f(W)) dt
\geq -\Delta(g(W)f(W) - g(W - \Delta)f(W - \Delta))
\]
and
\[
0 \leq \int_{-\Delta}^{0} (\mathbb{I}_{\{W + t \leq z\}} - \mathbb{I}_{\{W \leq z\}}) dt \leq \Delta \left( \mathbb{I}_{\{W - \Delta \leq z\}} - \mathbb{I}_{\{W \leq z\}} \right).
\]

Therefore
\[
I_1 \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( -\Delta \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} \Delta(g(W)f(W) - g(W - \Delta)f(W - \Delta)) \right)
+ \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta > 0\}} \Delta \left( \mathbb{I}_{\{W - \Delta \leq z\}} - \mathbb{I}_{\{W \leq z\}} \right) \right).
\]

(4.10)

Thus, for any $\Delta^* = \Delta^*(W, W') = \Delta^*(W'; W) \geq |\Delta|$
\[
\frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( -\Delta \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} \Delta(g(W)f(W) - g(W - \Delta)f(W - \Delta)) \right)
\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta^* \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} \Delta(g(W)f(W) - g(W')f(W')) \right)
\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta^* \Delta \left( \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} + \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta > 0\}} \right) g(W)f(W) \right)
\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta^* \Delta^* g(W)f(W) \right)
\leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left| E \left( \Delta \Delta^* | W \right) \right|.
\]

(4.11)

where $E(\Delta^* \Delta \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta < 0\}} g(W')f(W')) = -E(\Delta^* \Delta \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta > 0\}} g(W)f(W))$ because of the exchangeability of $W$ and $W'$ and $|g(w)f(w)| \leq 1$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, we have
\[
(4.12) \quad \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( \Delta \mathbb{I}_{\{\Delta > 0\}} \Delta \left( \mathbb{I}_{\{W - \Delta \leq z\}} - \mathbb{I}_{\{W \leq z\}} \right) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left| E \left( \Delta \Delta^* | W \right) \right|.
\]
Combining (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) yields

\[ I_1 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} E \left| E (\Delta \Delta^* | W) \right|. \]  

(4.13)

Following the same argument, we also have

\[ I_1 \geq -\frac{1}{\lambda} E \left| E (\Delta \Delta^* | W) \right|. \]  

(4.14)

This proves (2.4), by (4.8), (4.13) and (4.14).

5. Proofs of Theorems 3.1–3.4. In this section, we give proofs for the theorems in Section 3. The construction of an exchangeable pair is described as follows.

Let \( \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \) be a sequence of random variables and \( W = h(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n) \). For each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), let \( \eta'_i \) have the conditional distribution of \( \eta_i \) given \( \{\eta_j, 1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i\} \), also, \( \eta'_i \) is conditionally independent of \( \eta_i \) given \( \{\eta_j, 1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i\} \). Let \( I \) be a random index uniformly distributed over \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) independent of \( \{\eta_i, \eta'_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \). Set

\[ W' = h(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{I-1}, \eta'_I, \eta_{I+1}, \ldots, \eta_n). \]

Then, \((W, W')\) is an exchangeable pair. In particular, when \( \eta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \) are independent, one can let \( \{\eta'_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \) be an independent copy of \( \{\eta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \). This sampling procedure is also called the Gibbs sampler.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let \( \mathcal{X} = \sigma(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \), and \((X'_1, X'_2, \ldots, X'_n)\) be an independent copy of \((X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)\). Let \( I \) be a random index uniformly distributed over \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) independent of any other random variable. Write \( W_n = h(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) and define \( W'_n = h(X_1, \ldots, X'_I, \ldots, X_n) \). Then, \((W_n, W'_n)\) is an exchangeable pair. It is easy to see that

\[ \Delta = W_n - W'_n = \frac{2}{\sigma_n} \sum_{j \neq I} a_{ji} X_j (X_I - X'_I), \]

and

\[ E(\Delta | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{2}{\sigma_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} E(a_{ji} X_j (X_i - X'_i) | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{2}{n} W_n. \]
As such, condition (2.1) holds with \( \lambda = 2/n \) and \( R = 0 \). Also,

\[
E(\Delta^2 \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{4}{n\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\left(\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ji} X_j (X_i - X_i')\right)^2 \bigg| \mathcal{X}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{4}{n\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^2,
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^2.
\]

Note that by the assumptions \( \sigma_n^2 = 2 \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2 \) and \( a_{ii} = 0 \),

\[
E\left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 \mid \mathcal{X})\right) = 1.
\]

Then,

\[
E|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2 \mid W_n)|^2 \leq \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^2\right).
\]

Observe that

\[
\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^2\right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var}\left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^2\right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i \neq i'} \text{Cov}\left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^2, (X_{i'}^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i' k} X_k\right)^2\right).
\]

(5.1)

For the first term, recalling that \( a_{ii} = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var}\left((X_i^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^2\right)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i^2 + 1)^2 E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j\right)^4
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} (E(X_i^4) + 1) E(X_i^4) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^4 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^2\right)^2\right)
\]

\[
\leq C (E(X_i^4))^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^2\right)^2,
\]

(5.2)
where $C$ is an absolute constant. To bound the second term of (5.1), for any $i \neq k$, define

$$M_i = (X_i^2 + 1) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j \right)^2,$$

$$M_i^{(k)} = (X_i^2 + 1) \left( \sum_{j \neq k}^{n} a_{ij} X_j \right)^2.$$  

For the second term of (5.1), for any $i \neq i'$, we have

$$\text{Cov} \left( \left( X_i^2 + 1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \right), \left( X_{i'}^2 + 1 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right) \right)$$

$$= \text{Cov} \left( M_i, M_{i'} \right)$$

$$= \text{Cov} \left( M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'} \right) + \text{Cov} \left( M_i, M_{i'}^{(i)} \right)$$

$$- \text{Cov} \left( M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'}^{(i)} + \text{Cov} \left( M_i - M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'} - M_{i'}^{(i)} \right). \right)$$

(5.3)

Given $F_{ii'} := \sigma \{ X_j, j \neq i, i' \}$, random variables $M_i^{(i')}$ and $M_{i'}^{(i)}$ are independent. Thus,

$$\text{Cov} \left( M_i^{(i')}, M_{i'}^{(i)} \right)$$

$$= \text{Cov} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( (X_i^2 + 1 \left( \sum_{j \neq i'}^{n} a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \right | F_{ii'} \right), \mathbb{E} \left( (X_{i'}^2 + 1 \left( \sum_{k \neq i}^{n} a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right | F_{ii'} \right) \right)$$

$$= 4 \text{Cov} \left( \left( \sum_{j \neq i'}^{n} a_{ij} X_j \right)^2, \left( \sum_{k \neq i}^{n} a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^2 a_{ij}^2 \mathbb{E}(X_1^4) + C \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} a_{i'k} \right)^2.$$  

Similar arguments hold for other terms of (5.3). Hence,

$$\sum_{i \neq i'} \text{Cov} \left( \left( X_i^2 + 1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j \right)^2 \right), \left( X_{i'}^2 + 1 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i'k} X_k \right)^2 \right) \right)$$

$$\leq C \mathbb{E}(X_1^4) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} a_{jk} \right)^2 \right).$$

(5.4)
It follows from (5.1), (5.2) and (5.4) that
\[
\mathbb{E}\left|1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta^2 \mid W_n\right)\right| \leq C\sigma_n^2\mathbb{E}(X_i^4)\left(\sqrt{\sum_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij}^2\right)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_k a_{ik}a_{jk}\right)^2}\right).
\]
(5.5)

Finally, it is sufficient to estimate the bound of \(\mathbb{E}\left|\mathbb{E}(\Delta \mid \Delta \mid W_n)\right|/\lambda\). In fact,
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta \mid \Delta \mid \mathcal{F}\right)
= \frac{2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_j a_{ij}X_j(X_i - X_i')\right) \left| \sum_j a_{ij}X_j(X_i - X_i')\right| \mathcal{F}\right)
= \frac{2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j \neq i'} a_{ij}X_j\right) \left| \sum_j a_{ij}X_j\right| B_i,
\]
where \(B_i = \mathbb{E}\left((X_i - X_i')X_i - X_i'\mid X_i\right)\).

For \(i \neq i'\), define
\[
K_i = \left(\sum_j a_{ij}X_j\right) \left| \sum_j a_{ij}X_j\right| B_i,
\]
\[
K_{i'}^{(i')} = \left(\sum_{j \neq i'} a_{ij}X_j\right) \left| \sum_{j \neq i'} a_{ij}X_j\right| B_i
\]
and thus,
\[
\text{Var}\left((1/\lambda)\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta \mid \Delta \mid \mathcal{F}\right)\right) = \frac{4}{\sigma_n^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}\,(K_i) + \frac{4}{\sigma_n^4} \sum_{i \neq i'} \text{Cov}\,(K_i, K_{i'}).\]

Similar to (5.2), we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}\,(K_i) \leq C(\mathbb{E}(X_i^4))^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^2.
\]

Recalling the definition of \(\mathcal{F}_{ii'}\), given that \(\mathcal{F}_{ii'}\), we have \(K_{i'}^{(i')}\) and \(K_{i'}^{(i)}\) are conditionally independent, and thus
\[
\text{Cov}\,(K_i^{(i')}, K_{i'}^{(i)} \mid \mathcal{F}_{ii'}) = 0.
\]
Moreover,
\[
\mathbb{E}\left(K_i^{(i')} \mid \mathcal{F}_{ii'}\right) = \left(\sum_{j \neq i'} a_{ij}\right) \left| \sum_{j \neq i'} a_{ij}X_j\right| \mathbb{E}(B_i) = 0
\]
because $E(B_i) = 0$. This proves $\text{Cov}(K_i^{(i')}, K_{i'}^{(i)}) = 0$. Similarly, we have $\text{Cov}(K_i^{(i')}, K_{i'}) = 0$ and $\text{Cov}(K_i^{(i')}, K_i^{(i)}) = 0$. Therefore,

$$|\text{Cov}(K_i, K_{i'})| = E\left|\left(K_i - K_i^{(i')}\right)\left(K_{i'} - K_{i'}^{(i)}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} E(K_i - K_i^{(i')})^2 + \frac{1}{2} E\left(K_{i'} - K_{i'}^{(i)}\right)^2.$$  

Observe that

$$|K_i - K_i^{(i')}| \leq |B_i| \left(2\left|a_{ii'} X_{i'} \sum_{j \neq i'} a_{ij} X_j + a_{ii'}^2 X_{i'}^2\right|\right),$$

thus,

$$E(K_i - K_i^{(i')})^2 \leq C E(B_i)^2 \left(a_{ii'}^2 \sum_j a_{ij}^2 + a_{ii'}^4 E\left(X_{i'}^4\right)\right) \leq C E\left(X_{i'}^4\right)^2 \left(a_{ii'}^2 \sum_j a_{ij}^2 + a_{ii'}^4\right).$$

A similar result is true for $E\left(K_{i'} - K_{i'}^{(i)}\right)^2$. Combining the inequalities, we have

$$\text{Var}\left(E(\Delta|\Delta| W_n) / \lambda\right) \leq C \sigma_n^{-4} E\left(X_1^4\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^2\right).$$

By the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} E\left|E(\Delta|\Delta| W_n)\right| \leq C \sigma_n^{-2} E\left(X_1^4\right) \left(\sqrt{\sum_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij}^2\right)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i,j,k} \left(\sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk}\right)^2}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1 by (5.5) and (5.6).

5.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Recall that $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Let $\mathcal{X} = \sigma(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$. We first construct an exchangeable pair $(S_n, S'_n)$ as follows. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, given $\{X_j, j \neq i\}$, let $X_i'$ be conditionally independent of $X_i$ with the same conditional distribution of $X_i$. Let $I$ be a random index uniformly distributed over $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ independent of any other random variable. Define $S'_n = S_n - X_I + X_I'$; then, $(S_n, S'_n)$ is an exchangeable pair.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on the following propositions. Let $\bar{X} = S_n / n$. 
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Proposition 5.1. Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.2, for $\beta = 1$, we have

$$E\left[S_n - S'_n \mid \mathcal{F}\right] = \frac{H^{(2k)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \bar{X}^{2k-1} + R_1,$$

where $E|R_1| \leq C n^{-1}$ with the constant $C$ depending only on $b_0, b_1, b_3$ and $k$.

For $0 < \beta < 1$, we have

$$E\left[S_n - S'_n \mid \mathcal{F}\right] = (1 - \beta) \bar{X} + R_2,$$

where $E|R_2| \leq C n^{-1}$ and $C$ depends only on $\beta$ and $b$.

Proposition 5.2. Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$E|E\left[\left((S_n - S'_n)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}\right) - 2\right] \leq C n^{-1/2}, \text{ for } 0 < \beta < 1,$$

and

$$E|E\left[\left((S_n - S'_n)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}\right) - 2\right] \leq C n^{-1/2k}, \text{ for } \beta = 1.$$
(ii) When $\beta = 1$, define $W_n = n^{-1 + \frac{1}{2k}} S_n$ and $W'_n = n^{-1 + \frac{1}{2k}} S'_n$. Then, $(W_n, W'_n)$ is an exchangeable pair, and by (5.7),

\[
E(W_n - W'_n \mid W_n) = n^{-2 + 1/k} \left( \frac{H^{2k}(0)}{(2k-1)!} W_n^{2k-1} + n^{-1 + \frac{1}{2k}} R_1 \right),
\]

where $n^{-1 + \frac{1}{2k}} E|R_1| \leq C n^{-\frac{1}{2k}}$. Taking $\lambda = n^{-2 + 1/k}$ and by (5.10) and (5.11), we have

\[
E\left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( (W_n - W'_n)^2 \mid W_n \right) \right] \leq C n^{-\frac{1}{2k}},
\]

and

\[
E\left[ \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( (W_n - W'_n) | W_n - W'_n \mid \mid W_n \right) \right] \leq C n^{-1/2}.
\]

This completes the proof of (3.7) by Theorem 2.2 with $g(w) = \frac{H^{2k}(0)}{(2k-1)!} w^{2k-1}.$

To prove Propositions 5.1 to 5.3, we need to prove some preliminary lemmas.

In what follows, we let $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$ be independent and identically distributed random variables with probability measure $\rho$ satisfying (3.2), and (3.4) or (3.5).

**Lemma 5.1.** For any $z > 0$, under (3.4), we have

\[
P(|\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n| > z) \leq 2 \exp \left( - \frac{b z^2}{2n} \right), \text{ for } 0 < \beta < 1.
\]

Under (3.5), and for $\beta = 1$,

\[
P(|\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n| > z) \leq \begin{cases} 
2 \exp \left( - \frac{z^2}{2n} - \frac{b_1 z^{2k}}{n^{2k-1}} \right), & 0 < z \leq b_0 n, \\
2 \exp \left( - \frac{b_2 z^2}{2n} \right), & z > b_0 n.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** (5.12) follows easily from (3.4) and Chebyshev’s inequality.

As for (5.13), when $0 < z \leq b_0 n$, set $t = z/n$. By the Chebyshev inequality, we have

\[
P(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n > z) \leq e^{-t z} \left( E e^{t \xi} \right)^n = e^{-t z} \left( E e^{t \xi} \right)^n \leq e^{-t z} \exp \left( \frac{nt^2}{2} - nb_1 t^{2k} \right) = \exp \left( - \frac{z^2}{2n} - \frac{b_1 z^{2k}}{n^{2k-1}} \right).
\]
Similarly,
\[ P(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n < -z) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{z^2}{2n} - \frac{b_1 z^{2k}}{n^{2k-1}} \right), \]
and hence
\[ P(|\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n| > z) \leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{z^2}{2n} - \frac{b_1 z^{2k}}{n^{2k-1}} \right). \]

A similar argument for \( z > b_0 n \) completes the proof of (5.13).

**Lemma 5.2.** Under condition (3.5) and for \( \beta = 1 \), we have
\[ cn^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}} \leq E \exp \left( \frac{1}{2n} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2 \right) \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}}, \]
where \( c \) and \( C \) are constants such that \( 0 < c < C < \infty \). Under condition (3.4), for \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), we have
\[ 1 \leq E \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{2n} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2 \right) \leq C, \]
where \( C > 1 \) is a finite constant.

**Proof.** Noting that
\[ e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx - t^2/2} dt, \]
we have
\[
\sqrt{2\pi} E \exp \left( \frac{1}{2n} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2 \right) \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E \exp \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n) - \frac{t^2}{2} \right) dt \\
\leq \int_{|t| \leq b_0 \sqrt{n}} e^{-b_1 t^{2k}/n^{k-1}} dt + \int_{|t| > b_0 \sqrt{n}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{2k})} dt \\
\leq C n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}}
\]
for some constant \( C \).

For the lower bound of \( E e^{\frac{1}{2n} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i)^2} \), as \( \rho \) is of type \( k \) with strength \( \lambda_{\rho} \), then by the Taylor expansion, for \( |t| \leq b_0 \),
\[
\left| \frac{t^2}{2} - \log E e^{t \xi} \right| \leq C \lambda t^{2k},
\]
where $C_{\lambda} = \lambda + b_0 \sup_{|t| \leq b_0} |H^{(2k+1)}(t)|$ is a constant. Thus, for $|t| \leq b_0$,

\[ Ee^{t\xi} \geq \exp \left( \frac{t^2}{2} - C_{\lambda} t^{2k} \right). \]

Similar to (5.16), we have

\[ \sqrt{2\pi} E e^{\frac{1}{2n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \right)^2} \geq E \int_{|t| \leq b_0 \sqrt{n}} e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n) - \frac{t^2}{2}} dt \]

\[ \geq cn^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}}. \]

This proves (5.14).

Under condition (3.4) and similar to (5.16), we have

\[ E \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{2n} \left( \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n \right)^2 \right) \leq C, \]

and by the Jensen inequality,

\[ E e^{\frac{\beta}{2n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \right)^2} \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{2n} E((\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2)} \]

\[ \geq 1. \]

This completes the proof of (5.15).

Let $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ be a random vector following the Curie-Weiss distribution satisfying (3.3). We have the following inequalities.

**Lemma 5.3.** Under condition (3.5), we have

\[ E \left( \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n^{-\frac{1}{2k}}} \right)^{2k} \leq C, \quad \beta = 1, \]

and under condition (3.4), we have

\[ E \left( \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 \leq C, \quad 0 < \beta < 1. \]

**Proof.** Let $M_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)$ and $Z_n = E e^{\frac{1}{2} M_n^2}$. For $\beta = 1$ and when (3.5) holds, by (3.3), we have

\[ E \left( S_n^{2k} \right) = \frac{n^k}{Z_n} E \left( M_n^{2k} e^{\frac{1}{2} M_n^2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{n^k}{Z_n} \int_0^\infty \left( 2k x^{2k-1} + \frac{1}{2} x^{2k+1} \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} x^2} P(|M_n| \geq x) dx \]

\[ = \frac{n^k}{Z_n} (I_1 + I_2), \]

\[ \text{where} \quad I_1 = \frac{n^k}{Z_n} \int_0^\infty \left( 2k x^{2k-1} + \frac{1}{2} x^{2k+1} \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} x^2} dx, \]

\[ I_2 = \frac{n^k}{Z_n} \int_{|M_n| \geq \sqrt{n}} e^{\frac{1}{2} |M_n|^2} \]
where
\[ I_1 = \int_0^{b_0 \sqrt{n}} (2kx^{2}x^{2k-1} + \frac{1}{2}x^{2k+1}) e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} P(|M_n| \geq x) dx, \]
\[ I_2 = \int_{b_0 \sqrt{n}}^{\infty} (2kx^{2}x^{2k-1} + \frac{1}{2}x^{2k+1}) e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} P(|M_n| \geq x) dx. \]

For \( I_1 \), letting \( D_n = [b_0 \sqrt{n}] + 1 \), where \([a]\) is the integer part of \( a \), we have
\[ I_1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{D_n} \int_{j}^{j+1} (2kx^{2}x^{2k-1} + \frac{1}{2}x^{2k+1}) e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} P(|M_n| \geq x) dx \]
\[ \leq C \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{D_n} j^{2k+1} \int_{j}^{j+1} e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2-jx+j} P(|M_n| \geq x) dx \right) \]
\[ \leq C \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{D_n} j^{2k+1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2j}} \int_{j}^{j+1} e^{jx} P(|M_n| \geq x) dx \right) \]
\[ \leq C \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{D_n} j^{2k+1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2j}} \int_{j}^{j+1} e^{jx-\frac{x^2}{2j}} \frac{b_1 2^k}{n^{k-1}} dx \right) \text{ by (5.13)} \]
\[ \leq C \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{D_n} j^{2k+1} e^{-\frac{b_1 2^k}{n^{k-1}}} dx \right) \]
\[ \leq C \left( 1 + n^{(2k+1)(k-1)/2k} \right). \]

A similar argument can be made for \( I_2 \). By (5.19) and (5.14), we have
\[ E \left( S_n^{2k} \right) \leq C n^{2k-1}. \]

This completes the proof of (5.17). A similar argument holds for (5.18). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.3.

**Lemma 5.4.** For \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \), there exists a constant \( b_3 > \beta \) such that
\[ E e^{b_3 \xi^{2}/2} \leq C. \]

**Proof.** When \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), we choose \( b_3 \) such that \( \beta < b_3 < b \); then,
\[ E e^{b_3 \xi^{2}/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{t^2}{2b_3}} dt \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2b_3} (1-x)} dt \]
\[ \leq C. \]
When $\beta = 1$, we choose $b_3$ such that $1 < b_3 < b_2$. Then,

$$Ee^{b_3 \xi^2/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t^2/2b_3} dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_3}} \int_{|t| \leq b_0} \exp \left( \frac{t^2}{2} - b_1 t^4 - \frac{t^2}{2b_3} \right) dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_3}} \int_{|t| > b_0} \exp \left( \frac{t^2}{2b_2} - \frac{t^2}{2b_3} \right) dt$$

$$\leq C.$$  

This proves (5.21).  

Let $\bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{n} (S_n - X_i)$.

**Lemma 5.5.** For $0 < \beta \leq 1$, and for $r \geq 1$, we have

$$E \left( |X_i|^r \mid \bar{X}_i \right) \leq Ce^{\beta \bar{X}_i^2}.$$  

**Proof.** Let $\xi$ be a random variable with the probability measure $\rho$ independent of $\bar{X}_i$. Then,

$$E \left( |X_i|^r \mid \bar{X}_i \right) = \frac{E \left( |\xi|^r e^{\frac{\beta \xi^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i \xi} \mid \bar{X}_i \right)}{E \left( e^{\frac{\beta \xi^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i \xi} \mid \bar{X}_i \right)},$$

and

$$E \left( e^{\frac{\beta \xi^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i \xi} \mid \bar{X}_i \right) \geq E \left( e^{\beta \bar{X}_i \xi} \mid \bar{X}_i \right)$$

$$\geq e^{-\frac{\beta \bar{X}_i^2}{2}} E \left( e^{-\beta \xi^2/2} \right)$$

$$\geq e^{-\frac{\beta \bar{X}_i^2}{2}} e^{-\beta \bar{X}_i^2/2}$$

$$\geq e^{-\beta/2} e^{-\beta \bar{X}_i^2/2}.$$  

(5.23)

By Lemma 5.4, given $t = b_3 y$, where $b_3$ depends on $\beta, b$ and $b_2$, we have

$$P(|\xi| \geq y) \leq e^{-by} E \left( e^{t|\xi|} \right)$$

$$\leq e^{-by} E \left( e^{b_3^2 y^2 + \frac{y^2}{2b_3}} \right)$$

$$\leq Ce^{-b_3 y^2/2}.$$
Therefore,
\[
E \left( |\xi|^r e^{\frac{\beta^2}{2n} + \frac{\theta^2}{2}} \right) \leq \int_0^\infty (ry^{r-1} + 2\beta y^{r+1}) e^{\beta y^2(1+1/n)/2} P(|\xi| \geq y) dy
\]
\[
\leq C \int_0^\infty (ry^{r-1} + 2\beta y^{r+1}) e^{\beta y^2(1+1/n)/2 - bny^2/2} dy
\]
\[
\leq C,
\]
and by the Cauchy inequality,
\[
E \left( |\xi|^r e^{\frac{\beta^2}{2n} + \beta X_i \xi} \right) \leq e^{\frac{\beta^2}{2n}} E \left( |\xi|^r e^{\frac{\beta^2}{2n} + \beta X_i^2} \right) \leq Ce^{\beta X_i^2/2}.
\]

(5.24)

This completes the proof of (5.22). \qed

**Lemma 5.6.** If $0 < \beta < 1$ and (3.4) is satisfied, then for $r > 0$ and $\theta > 0$, we have
\[
E \left( |\bar{X}_i|^r e^{\theta \bar{X}_i^2} \right) \leq C n^{-r/2}.
\]
(5.25)

If $\beta = 1$ and (3.5) is satisfied, then for $r \geq 0$ and $\theta > 0$, we have
\[
E \left( |\bar{X}_i|^r e^{\theta \bar{X}_i^2} \right) \leq C n^{-\frac{r}{2}}.
\]
(5.26)

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, assume $i = 1$. Observe that
\[
E \left( |\bar{X}_1|^r e^{\theta \bar{X}_1^2} \right) = \frac{1}{n^r Z_n} E |\xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_n|^r e^{\frac{\theta}{2n} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2} e^{\frac{\beta}{n} (\xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{n^r Z_n} E e^{\frac{\theta}{2} (1+1/n) \bar{\xi}_i^2} E |\xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_n|^r e^{\frac{\beta}{n} (\xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2}.
\]

When $0 < \beta < 1$ and (3.4) is satisfied, by (5.15), we have $Z_n \geq 1$. Also, similar to (5.12),
\[
P(|\xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_n| > y) \leq 2 e^{-\frac{by^2}{2(n-1)}}.
\]
Thus, for $r \geq 2$,
\[
E |\xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_n|^r e^{(\frac{\beta}{n} + \frac{\theta}{2n}) (\xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2}
\]
\[
\leq C \int_0^\infty (ry^{r-1} + (\beta n^{-1} + 2(\theta + \beta)n^{-2}) y^{r+1}) e^{(\frac{\beta}{2n} + \frac{\theta}{2n}) y^2 - \frac{b}{2(n-1)} y^2} dy
\]
\[
\leq C n^{r/2}.
\]
This proves (5.25). Similarly, following the proof of (5.17), (5.26) holds for \( r \geq 2 \). When \( r = 0 \), similar to Lemma 5.2, we have

\[
E \left( e^{\theta \bar{X}_1} \right) \leq C.
\]

By the Cauchy inequality, (5.25) and (5.26) hold for \( 0 < r < 2 \). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.6.

\begin{lemma}
For each \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \), we have

\[
|E \left( (X_1^2 - 1)(X_2^2 - 1) \right) | \leq \begin{cases} 
Cn^{-1}, & 0 < \beta < 1, \text{ under (3.4)}, \\
Cn^{-1/k}, & \beta = 1, \text{ under (3.5)}.
\end{cases}
\]

(5.27)
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
We consider \( i = 1, j = 2 \) only. Note that

\[
E \left( (X_1^2 - 1)(X_2^2 - 1) \right) = \frac{1}{Z_n} E(\xi_1^2 - 1)(\xi_2^2 - 1) \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{2n} (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2 \right).
\]

Set \( m_{12} = \xi_3 + \cdots + \xi_n \). We first calculate the conditional expectation given \( \xi_3, \cdots, \xi_n \). In fact, for any \( s \), we have

\[
E(\xi_1^2 - 1)(\xi_2^2 - 1)e^{\frac{\beta t}{\sqrt{n}}(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2 + \frac{\beta s}{n}(\xi_1 + \xi_2)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(\xi_1^2 - 1)(\xi_2^2 - 1) \exp \left( \frac{\beta t}{\sqrt{n}}(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + \frac{\beta s}{n}(\xi_1 + \xi_2) - \frac{\beta t^2}{2} \right) dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( E \left( (\xi_1^2 - 1)e^{\left( \frac{\beta t}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\beta s}{n} \right)\xi_1} \right) \right)^2 e^{-\beta t^2/2} dt.
\]

Observe that

\[
\left| E(\xi_1^2 - 1) \exp \left( \frac{\beta t}{\sqrt{n}}\xi_1 + \frac{\beta s}{n} \xi_1 \right) \right| \leq \left( \frac{\beta t}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\beta s}{n} \right) E(|\xi_1|^3 + |\xi_1|) \exp \left( \frac{\beta t}{\sqrt{n}} |\xi_1| + \frac{\beta s}{n} |\xi_1| \right)
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{\beta t}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\beta s}{n} \right) e^{\beta s^2/(2n^2) + \beta t^2/(2\sqrt{n})} E(|\xi_1|^3 + |\xi_1|) e^{\beta s^2/(2n^2) + \beta t^2/(2\sqrt{n})}
\]

(5.28)
Therefore,

\[ |E(\xi_1^2 - 1)(\xi_2^2 - 1)e^{\frac{2}{n}(\xi_1+\xi_2)+\frac{\beta}{n}(\xi_1+\xi_2)s}| \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{t^2}{n} + \frac{s^2}{n^2} \right) \exp \left( \frac{\beta t^2}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\beta s^2}{n^2} - \frac{\beta t^2}{2} \right) dt \]

\[ \leq C \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{s^2}{n^2} \right) e^{\beta s^2/n^2}. \]

Hence,

\[ |E((X_1^2 - 1)(X_2^2 - 1))| \leq C \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{m_{12}^2}{n^2} \right) e^{\beta m_{12}^2/n^2 + \beta m_{12}^2/(2n)}. \]

Similar to the proofs of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.6, for \( 0 < \beta < 1 \),

\[ \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{m_{12}^2}{n^2} \right) e^{\beta m_{12}^2/n^2 + \beta m_{12}^2/(2n)} \leq C n^{-1}, \]

and for \( \beta = 1 \),

\[ \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{m_{12}^2}{n^2} \right) e^{\beta m_{12}^2/n^2 + \beta m_{12}^2/(2n)} \leq C n^{-1/k}. \]

This completes the proof of (5.27).

For \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), let \( \mathcal{X} = \sigma(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \), and

\[ Q_i = \mathbb{E} \left( (X_i - X_i') | X_i - X_i' \right) \mathcal{X}. \]

As defined at the beginning of this subsection, given \( \{X_j, j \neq i\} \), \( X_i' \) and \( X_i \) are conditionally independent and have the same distribution.

**Lemma 5.8.** For \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \), we have

\begin{align*}
(5.29) & \quad \mathbb{E} \left( Q_i^2 \right) \leq C, \\
(5.30) & \quad |\mathbb{E}(Q_iQ_j)| \leq C n^{-1}.
\end{align*}

**Proof.** By Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6,

\[ \mathbb{E} \left( Q_i^2 \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( (X_i - X_i')^2 \right) \leq 4\mathbb{E} \left( X_i^2 \right) \leq C. \]
To prove (5.30), let
\[ u(s, t) = (s - t)|s - t|. \]

Let \( \xi, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n \) be i.i.d. random variables with probability measure \( \rho \), which are independent of \((X_1, \ldots, X_n)\). We have
\[
Q_i = \frac{E \left( u(X_i, \xi) \exp \left( \frac{\beta \epsilon^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i \xi \right) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)}{E \left( \exp \left( \frac{\beta \epsilon^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i \xi \right) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)}.
\]

Without loss of generality, consider \( i = 1, j = 2 \). Define \( \bar{X}_{12} = \frac{1}{n}(S_n - X_1 - X_2) \), and
\[
Q'_1 = \frac{E \left( u(X_1, \xi) \exp(\beta \bar{X}_{12} \xi) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)}{E \left( \exp(\beta \bar{X}_{12} \xi) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)},
\]
\[
Q'_2 = \frac{E \left( u(X_2, \xi) \exp(\beta \bar{X}_{12} \xi) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)}{E \left( \exp(\beta \bar{X}_{12} \xi) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)}.
\]

Again, let \( m_{12} = (\xi_3 + \cdots + \xi_n) \). We have
\[
E \left( Q'_1 Q'_2 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{Z_n} E \tilde{u}(\xi_1, m_{12}) \tilde{u}(\xi_2, m_{12}) \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{2n} (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2 + \frac{\beta}{n} (\xi_1 + \xi_2) m_{12} + \frac{\beta}{2n} m_{12}^2 \right)
\]
where
\[
\tilde{u}(x, y) = \frac{E \left( u(x, \xi) e^{\frac{\beta y \xi}{n}} \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)}{E \left( e^{\frac{\beta y \xi}{n}} \bigg| \mathcal{F} \right)}.
\]

As \( u(x, y) \) is anti-symmetric, we have
\[
E \left( \tilde{u}(\xi_1, m_{12}) \tilde{u}(\xi_2, m_{12}) e^{\frac{\beta}{n}(\xi_1 + \xi_2)m_{12}} \bigg| m_{12} \right) = 0.
\]

Moreover,
\[
E \left( |u(x, \xi)| e^{\frac{\beta y \xi}{n}} \right)
\]
\[
\leq C \left( x^2 E e^{\beta y \xi/n} + E \left( \xi^2 e^{\beta y \xi/2} \right) \right)
\]
\[
\leq C e^{C y^2/n^2} \left( 1 + x^2 + y^2/n^2 \right).
\]
Similar to (5.23), \( Ee^{\beta y/n} \geq Ce^{-C'y^2/n^2} \), and thus,

\[
|\tilde{u}(x, y)| \leq Ce^{C'y^2/n^2}(1 + x^2 + y^2/n^2).
\]

Therefore, similar to Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6,

\[
|E(Q_1' Q_2')| \leq \frac{\beta}{nZ} E|\tilde{u}(\xi_1, m_{12})\tilde{u}(\xi_2, m_{12})|((\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2 e^{\frac{\beta}{2n}(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2})
\]

\[
\leq \frac{C}{nZ} E\left(1 + \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4 + \frac{m_{12}^4}{n^4}\right)(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) e^{\frac{\beta}{2n}(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)^2}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{C}{n}(1 + X_{12}^4)(1 + X_1^6 + X_2^6)e^{C\bar{X}_{12}^2}
\]

(5.31) \leq \frac{C}{n}.

Next, we estimate \( E((Q_1 - Q_1')^2) \). Note that

\[
|Q_1 - Q_1'| \leq \frac{\beta}{nZ} E\left|u(X_1, \xi)e^{\beta X_{12}\xi(e^{\frac{\beta X_{12}^2}{2n}} + \frac{\beta X_{12}^2}{n})} - 1\right| \bigg| \mathcal{F} \bigg|
\]

\[
\leq E\left(u(X_1, \xi)e^{\beta X_{12}\xi(e^{\frac{\beta X_{12}^2}{2n}} + \beta X_{12}^2)} - 1\right) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \bigg|
\]

\[
\leq E\left(e^{\beta X_{12}\xi(e^{\frac{\beta X_{12}^2}{2n}} + \beta X_{12}^2)} - 1\right) \bigg| \mathcal{F} \bigg|
\]

Note also that \( |u(s, t)| \leq (s - t)^2 \). Similar to Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6, we have

\[
E((Q_1 - Q_1')^2) \leq Cn^{-2}.
\]

Observe that

\[
|E(Q_1 Q_2)| \leq |E(Q_1' Q_2')| + |E(Q_1(Q_2 - Q_2'))|
\]

\[
+|E(Q_2(Q_1 - Q_1'))| + |E(Q_1 - Q_1')(Q_2 - Q_2')|,
\]

(5.33)

Then, by the Cauchy inequality and substituting (5.29), (5.31) and (5.32) into (5.33), we get the desired result.

We are now ready to prove Propositions 5.1–5.3.
PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.1. By the definition of $S_n$ and $S'_n$, we have

$$E(S_n - S'_n \mid \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i - X'_i \mid \mathcal{F})$$

$$= \bar{X} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X'_i \mid \mathcal{F})$$

$$= \bar{X} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)$$

Observe that for $0 < \beta \leq 1$,

$$\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)} = h(\bar{X}_i) + r_{1i},$$

where

$$h(s) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{\beta x^2} d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta x^2} d\rho(x)}$$

and

$$r_{1i} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)} - \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)}.$$

We first give the bound of $E|r_{1i}|$. Note that by (5.23) and (5.24),

$$E|r_{1i}| \leq E \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x (e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} - 1) e^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)} \right|$$

$$+ E \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} - 1) e^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} e^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n} E \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^3 \exp \left( \frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x \right) d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{C}{n} E \left| \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^2 e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^2 e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n} + \beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x)}{(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta \bar{X}_i x} d\rho(x))^2} \right|$$

$$\leq C n^{-1} e^{C \bar{X}_i^2}$$

(5.35) $\leq C n^{-1}.$

For $h(\bar{X}_i)$, we consider two cases.
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Case 1. $\beta = 1$. As $\rho$ is of type $k$, by the Taylor expansion,

$$
h(\bar{X}_i) = \bar{X}_i + \frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \bar{X}_i^{2k-1} + \frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \int_0^{\bar{X}_i} h^{(2k)}(t)(\bar{X}_i - t)^{2k-1} dt 
= \bar{X} - \frac{1}{n} X_i + \frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \bar{X}_i^{2k-1} + \frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} (\bar{X}_i^{2k-1} - \bar{X}^{2k-1})
$$

(5.36) 

$$
+ \frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \int_0^{X_i} h^{(2k)}(t)(\bar{X}_i - t)^{2k-1} dt.
$$

(5.37) 

Hence,

$$
\mathbb{E} \left( S_n - S'_n \mid \mathcal{F} \right) = \frac{h^{2k-1}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \bar{X}_i^{2k-1} + R_1,
$$

where

$$
R_1 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( h(\bar{X}_i) - \bar{X} - \frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \bar{X}_i^{2k-1} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{1i},
$$

and $r_{1i}$ is given in (5.34) with $\beta = 1$.

Observe that by (5.36),

$$
h(\bar{X}_i) - \bar{X} - \frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \bar{X}_i^{2k-1} 
= -\frac{1}{n} X_i + \frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} (\bar{X}_i^{2k-1} - \bar{X}^{2k-1})
$$

(5.38) 

$$
+ \frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \int_0^{X_i} h^{(2k)}(t)(\bar{X}_i - t)^{2k-1} dt.
$$

For the first term of (5.38), it follows from Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} |X_i| \leq C n^{-1}.
$$

(5.39) 

For the second term, by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 again,

$$
\frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \mathbb{E} |\bar{X}_i^{2k-1} - \bar{X}^{2k-1}| 
\leq C n^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left( |X_i|(|\bar{X}_i|^{2k-2} + (|X_i|/n)^{2k-2}) \right)
\leq C n^{-1} \mathbb{E} (1 + |\bar{X}_i|^{2k-1}) e^{C|\bar{X}_i|^2}
\leq C n^{-1}.
$$

(5.40)
To bound the last term, we first consider $h^{(2k)}(s)$. Recalling that

$$h(t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xe^{tx}d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx}d\rho(x)}$$

and observing that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx}d\rho(x) \geq 1$$

and

$$\left| \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx}d\rho(x) \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^j e^{tx}d\rho(x) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|^{2k+1})e^{tx}d\rho(x)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k + 1$, we have

$$|h^{(2k)}(t)| \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|^{2k+1})e^{tx}d\rho(x) \leq Ce^{t^{2}/2},$$

Thus, by (5.26),

$$\frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i h^{(2k)}(\bar{X}_i - t)^{(2k-1)}dt \right| \leq CE \left( \bar{X}_i^{2k} e^{\bar{X}_i^{2}/2} \right) \leq Cn^{-1}. \quad (5.41)$$

By (5.39), (5.40) and (5.41), (5.38) can be bounded by

$$\mathbb{E} \left| h(\bar{X}_i) - \bar{X} - \frac{h^{(2k-1)}(0)}{(2k-1)!} \bar{X}^{2k-1} \right| \leq Cn^{-1}. \quad (5.42)$$

Together with (5.34) and (5.35), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|R_1| \leq Cn^{-1}.$$

**Case 2.** For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$h(\bar{X}_i) = \beta \bar{X}_i + \int_{0}^{\bar{X}_i} h''(t)(\bar{X}_i - t)dt$$

$$= \beta \bar{X} - \frac{\beta}{n} X_i + \int_{0}^{\bar{X}_i} h''(t)(\bar{X}_i - t)dt.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left( S_n - S'_n \mid \mathcal{F} \right) = (1 - \beta) \bar{X} + R_2,$$

$$|R_2| \leq Cn^{-1}.$$
where

\[
R_2 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{\beta}{n} X_i + \int_{0}^{X_i} h''(t)(X_i - t) dt \right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{1i}.
\]

Similar to (5.42), we have

\[
E \left| -\frac{\beta}{n} X_i + \int_{0}^{X_i} h''(t)(X_i - t) dt \right| \leq Cn^{-1}.
\]

Together with (5.35), we have

\[
E|R_2| \leq Cn^{-1}.
\]

This completes the proof.

**Proof of Proposition 5.2.** Observe that

\[
E \left( (S_n - S_n')^2 \mid \mathcal{F} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left( X_i^2 - 2X_iX_i' + (X_i')^2 \mid \mathcal{F} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( X_i^2 - \frac{2X_i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xe^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)} + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)} \right)
\]

\[
:= 2 + R_3 + R_4 + R_5,
\]

where

\[
R_3 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2 - 1),
\]

\[
R_4 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2X_i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xe^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)},
\]

\[
R_5 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\beta x^2}{2n}} + \beta X_i x d\rho(x)} - 1.
\]

By the Taylor expansion, and similar to the proof of \(E|R_1|\) and \(E|R_2|\), we have

\[
E|R_4| + E|R_5| \leq \begin{cases} Cn^{-1/2}, & 0 < \beta < 1, \\ Cn^{-1/2}, & \beta = 1, \end{cases}
\]
As for \( E|R_3| \), we have
\[
E(R_3^2) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} E(X_i^2 - 1)(X_j^2 - 1).
\]

By Lemma 5.7, we have
\[
E(X_i^4) \leq C, \quad |E(X_i^2 - 1)(X_j^2 - 1)| \leq \begin{cases} 
Cn^{-1}, & 0 < \beta < 1, \\
Cn^{-1/k}, & \beta = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore,
\[
E|R_3| \leq \begin{cases} 
Cn^{-1/2}, & 0 < \beta < 1, \\
Cn^{-1/k}, & \beta = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

This proves (5.9) and (5.10).

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.3. We have
\[
E((S_n - S'_n)|S_n - S'_n) \bigg| \mathcal{X}^c
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E((X_i - X'_i)|X_i - X'_i) \bigg| \mathcal{X}^c.
\]

Then, (5.11) follows from Lemma 5.8.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3. The Berry-Esseen bound (3.11) follows from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 5.4 below.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let \( W_n \) be as defined in (3.8) and \( \sigma' = \{\sigma'_1, \ldots, \sigma'_n\} \), where for each \( i \), \( \sigma'_i \) is an independent copy of \( \sigma_i \) given \( \{\sigma_j, j \neq i\} \). Let \( I \) be a random index independent of all others and uniformly distributed over \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and let \( W'_n = \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\beta^2}{n^{1/2}} |S_n'|^2 - 1 \right) \), where \( S'_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j - \sigma_I + \sigma'_I \). Then, \( (W_n, W'_n) \) is an exchangeable pair and there exists a constant \( c_{\beta} \) depending on \( \beta \) only such that
\[
E(W_n - W'_n \big| W_n) = \lambda (W_n - R_n) \quad \text{and} \quad E|R_n| \leq c_{\beta}n^{-1/2},
\]
where \( \lambda = \frac{1-\beta \psi'(\kappa)}{n} \);
\[
E\left[B^2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E\left((W_n - W'_n)^2 \big| W_n\right) \right] \leq c_{\beta}n^{-1/2},
\]
where \( B \) is defined in (3.10); and
\[
E\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} E\left((W_n - W'_n)|W_n - W'_n\big| W_n\right) \right] \leq c_{\beta}n^{-1/2}.
\]
Proof. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$ and $\sigma^{(i)} = S_n - \sigma_i$. The proof is organized in the following three parts.

(i) **Proof of (5.43).** Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$. As shown in Kirkpatrick and Meckes [20] (p. 23, equation (12)), we have

$$
E(W_n - W'_n \mid \sigma) = \frac{2}{n} W_n + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{2\beta}{n^{1/2} \kappa^2} (\beta |S_n|/n) \psi(\beta |S_n|/n) + R_1,
$$

where $\psi(x) = \coth(x) - 1/x$ and $|R_1| \leq Cn^{-3/2}$ for some constant $C$ depending on $\beta$. The Taylor expansion yields

$$
(\beta |S_n|/n) \psi(\beta |S_n|/n) = \kappa \psi(\kappa) + (\psi(\kappa) + \kappa \psi'(\kappa)) \left( \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - \kappa \right) + R_2
$$

where $|R_2| \leq C(\beta |S_n|/n - \kappa)^2$ with $C$ depending on $\beta$. Moreover, by Kirkpatrick and Meckes [20] (p. 25),

$$
\frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - \kappa = \frac{\kappa W_n}{2\sqrt{n}} + R_3,
$$

where $|R_3| \leq CW_n^2/n$. Recalling (3.9) and combining all of the preceding inequalities, we have

$$
E(W_n - W'_n \mid \sigma) = \frac{1 - \beta \psi'(\kappa)}{n} (W_n - R_n),
$$

where $|R_n| \leq CW_n^2/n^{1/2}$. It follows from Kirkpatrick and Meckes [20] (p. 24) that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$,

$$
P\left( \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - \kappa \right| > x \right) \leq e^{-K_\beta n x^2}
$$

for some constant $K_\beta > 0$. Then,

$$
E|\beta |S_n|/n - \kappa|^4 \leq 4 \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_0} x^3 e^{-K_\beta n x^2} dx + C P\left( \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - \kappa \right| > \varepsilon_0 \right)
$$

$$
\leq Cn^{-2} + Ce^{-K_\beta n \varepsilon_0}
$$

(5.46)

It follows from the definition of $W_n$ that

$$
E|W_n|^2 = n E\left| \frac{\beta^2 |S_n|^2}{n^2 \kappa^2} - 1 \right|^2
$$

$$
\leq C n E\left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n \kappa} - 1 \right|^2
$$

$$
\leq C,
$$

where $C$ depends on $\beta$. This proves (5.43).
(ii) **Proof of** (5.44). From Kirkpatrick and Meckes [20] (pp. 25–27, equations (16) and (18)), we have

$$E \left( (W_n - W'_n)^2 \mid \sigma \right) = 4 \beta^4 n^4 \kappa^4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \left( 1 - 2 \psi(b_i)/b_i - 2 \psi(b_i) \cos \alpha_i + \cos^2 \alpha_i \right)$$

$$= 2 \lambda B^2 + \frac{4 \beta^4 n^4 \kappa^4}{(1 - \frac{2}{\beta})^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \cos \alpha_i - \frac{n^2 \kappa^3}{\beta^3} \right)$$

$$- \frac{2 \beta}{\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \cos \alpha_i - \frac{n^2 \kappa^3}{\beta^3} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \cos^2 \alpha_i - 2 \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \cos \alpha_i \left( \psi(b_i) - \frac{\kappa}{\beta} \right) \right),$$

where $b_i = \beta |\sigma^{(i)}|/n$ and $\alpha_i$ is the angle between $\sigma_i$ and $\sigma^{(i)}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2 \lambda} E \left( E \left( (W_n - W'_n)^2 \mid \sigma \right) \right) - B^2 = \frac{2 \beta^4}{n^3 \kappa^4 (1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \psi'(\kappa))} (R_4 + R_5 + R_6 + R_7),$$

(5.47)

where

$$R_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right)^{(n-1) \kappa^2} \right),$$

$$R_5 = \frac{2 \beta}{\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \cos \alpha_i - \frac{n^2 \kappa^3}{\beta^3} \right),$$

$$R_6 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \cos \alpha_i - \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right)^{(n-1) \kappa^2} \right),$$

$$R_7 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left. \frac{2 \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \left( \psi(b_i)/b_i - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) - 2 \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 \cos \alpha_i \left( \psi(b_i) - \frac{\kappa}{\beta} \right) \right) \right).$$

For $R_4$, note that $|\sigma^{(i)} - S_n| \leq 1$; then, by (5.46),

$$E \left| \frac{\beta |\sigma^{(i)}|}{n} - \kappa \right|^4 \leq 8E \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - \kappa \right|^4 + 8/n^4 \leq Cn^{-2}.$$  

(5.48)
Thus,

\[
E|R_4| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left| \sigma^{(i)} \right|^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa^2}{\beta^2} \right|^2 \leq Cn^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left| \frac{\beta^2 |\sigma^{(i)}|^2}{n^2} - \kappa^2 \right|^2 \\
\leq Cn^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left| \frac{\beta |\sigma^{(i)}|}{n} - \kappa \right| \leq Cn^{5/2}.
\]

(5.49)

For \( R_5 \), by Kirkpatrick and Meckes [20] (p. 28), we have

\[
E|R_5| \leq E \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\kappa \left( |S_n| \langle \sigma_i, S_n \rangle - \frac{n^2 \kappa^3}{\beta^3} \right) \right| + 2\kappa n^2 / \beta \\
\leq \frac{2\kappa}{\beta} E \left| S_n \right|^3 - \frac{n^3 \kappa^3}{\beta^3} \right| + 2\kappa n^2 / \beta \\
\leq Cn^{5/2}.
\]

(5.50)

For \( R_6 \), we shall prove shortly that

\[
E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa^2}{\beta^2} \right) \right) \right)^2 \leq Cn^5.
\]

(5.51)

By (5.51) and the Cauchy inequality, we have

\[
E|R_6| \leq Cn^{5/2}.
\]

(5.52)

For \( R_7 \), as \( \psi(\kappa)/\kappa = 1/\beta \), and by the smoothness of \( \psi \), we have

\[
\left| \frac{\psi(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{\psi(\kappa)}{\kappa} \right| \leq |b_i - \kappa|,
\]

and

\[
\left| \psi(b_i) - \psi(\kappa) \right| \leq |b_i - \kappa|.
\]

Thus, by (5.48),

\[
E|R_7| \leq Cn^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|b_i - \kappa| \leq Cn^{5/2}.
\]

(5.53)

Then, (5.44) follows from (5.47)–(5.53).
(iii) **Proof of (5.45).** Similarly, we have

\begin{equation}
E \left( (W_n - W'_n)|W_n - W'_n| \mid \sigma \right) = \frac{4\beta^4}{n^4\kappa^4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i,
\end{equation}

where

\[ M_i = E \left( \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle |\langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle - \langle \sigma'_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle |\langle \sigma'_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle \mid \sigma \right). \]

We shall prove that

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i \right)^2 \leq Cn^5.
\end{equation}

The proof of (5.55) is given at the end of this subsection. By the definition of \( \lambda \) and (5.55), we have

\[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \left( (W_n - W'_n)|W_n - W'_n| \mid \sigma \right) \right| \leq Cn^{-1/2}. \]

This proves (5.45). Thus, we complete the proof of Proposition 5.4.

\[ \square \]

We now give the proofs of (5.51) and (5.55).

**Proof of (5.51).** Set \( a = \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa^2}{\beta^2} \). Given the symmetry, we have

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle^2 - a \right) \right)^2 = H_1 + H_2,
\end{equation}

where

\[ H_1 = n\mathbb{E} \left( \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1)} \rangle^2 - a \right)^2, \]

\[ H_2 = n(n-1)\mathbb{E} \left( \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1)} \rangle^2 - a \right) (\langle \sigma_2, \sigma^{(2)} \rangle^2 - a). \]

For \( H_1 \), as \( |\sigma^{(1)}| \leq n \), we have

\begin{equation}
H_1 \leq Cn^5.
\end{equation}

For \( H_2 \), we define \( \sigma^{(1,2)} = S_n - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \), and for \( j = 1, 2 \), we have

\[ |\langle \sigma_j, \sigma^{(j)} \rangle^2 - \langle \sigma_j, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2| \leq Cn. \]
Thus,
\begin{equation}
H_2 = H_3 + L_1,
\end{equation}
where \(|L_1| \leq Cn^5\) and
\[
H_3 = n(n - 1)E((\sigma_1, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 - a)(\langle \sigma_2, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - a).
\]
For \(i = 1, 2\), we define
\[
V_i(\sigma^{(1,2)}) = E\left( \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 \bigg| \sigma^{(1,2)} \right),
\]
and thus,
\begin{equation}
\begin{split}
E(\langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - a)(\langle \sigma_2, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - a) \\
= E(\langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - V_1(\sigma^{(1,2)}))(\langle \sigma_2, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - V_2(\sigma^{(1,2)})) \\
+ E(V_1(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a)(V_2(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a).
\end{split}
\end{equation}
Note that the conditional probability density function of \((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\) given \(\sigma^{(1,2)}\) is
\begin{equation}
p_{12}(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z_n^{(1,2)}}\exp\left( \frac{\beta}{2n} \langle x, y \rangle^2 + \frac{\beta}{n} \langle x + y, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle \right),
\end{equation}
where \(x, y \in S^2\) and
\[
Z_n^{(1,2)} = \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \exp\left( \frac{\beta}{2n} \langle x, y \rangle^2 + \frac{\beta}{n} \langle x + y, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle \right) dP_n(x) dP_n(y).
\]
Similarly, we define
\begin{equation}
\tilde{p}_{12}(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z_n^{(1,2)}}\exp\left( \frac{\beta}{n} \langle x + y, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle \right),
\end{equation}
where \(x, y \in S^2\) and
\[
\tilde{Z}_n^{(1,2)} = \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \exp\left( \frac{\beta}{n} \langle x + y, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle \right) dP_n(x) dP_n(y).
\]
For any \(x, y \in S^2\), we have
\begin{equation}
|p_{12}(x, y) - \tilde{p}_{12}(x, y)| \leq Cn^{-1}.
\end{equation}
Let \((\xi_1, \xi_2)\) be a random vector with conditional density function \(\tilde{p}_{12}(x, y)\), given \(\sigma^{(1,2)}\). Then, for the first term of (5.59), by (5.62), we have

\[
E((\sigma_1, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 - V_1(\sigma^{(1,2)})) (\sigma_2, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 - V_2(\sigma^{(1,2)}))
\]

(5.63) \[
= E((\xi_1, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 - \tilde{V}_1(\sigma^{(1,2)})) (\xi_2, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 - \tilde{V}_2(\sigma^{(1,2)})) + L_2,
\]

where \(|L_2| \leq Cn^3\) and for \(i = 1, 2\),

\[
\tilde{V}_i(\sigma^{(1,2)}) = E\left((\xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 \bigg| \sigma^{(1,2)}\right)
\]

(5.64) \[
\quad = |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\psi(b_{12})}{b_{12}}\right),
\]

(5.65) \[
\quad b_{12} = \beta|\sigma^{(1,2)}|/n.
\]

Observe that given \(\sigma^{(1,2)}\), \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_2\) are conditionally independent; then, the first term of (5.63) is 0, and thus,

\[
|E((\sigma_1, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 - V_1(\sigma^{(1,2)})) (\sigma_2, \sigma^{(1,2)})^2 - V_2(\sigma^{(1,2)}))| \leq Cn^3.
\]

(5.66) It suffices to bound the second term of (5.59). Again, by (5.62), we have

\[
E(V_1(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a) (V_2(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a)
\]

(5.67) \[
= E(\tilde{V}_1(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a) (\tilde{V}_2(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a) + L_3,
\]

where \(|L_3| \leq Cn^3\). Recalling that \(\beta \psi(\kappa) = \kappa\) and the definition of \(a\), we obtain

\[
|\tilde{V}_1(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a|
\]

(5.68) \[
\quad \leq |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \left|\frac{\psi(b_{12})}{b_{12}} - \frac{\psi(\kappa)}{\kappa}\right| + \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta}\right) |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \left(\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa^2}{\beta^2}\right)
\]

\[
\quad \leq Cn^2|b_{12} - \kappa| + Cn.
\]

By (5.68) and similar to (5.48), we have

\[
|E(\tilde{V}_1(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a) (\tilde{V}_2(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a)|
\]

(5.69) \[
\quad \leq Cn^4E|b_{12} - \kappa|^2 + Cn^3
\]

\[
\quad \leq Cn^3.
\]

It follows from (5.67) and (5.69) that

\[
|E(V_1(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a) (V_2(\sigma^{(1,2)}) - a)| \leq Cn^3.
\]

(5.70)

The inequalities (5.58), (5.59), (5.66) and (5.70) yield \(|H_2| \leq Cn^5\), and this completes the proof together with (5.56) and (5.57). \(\square\)
Next, we give the proof of (5.55).

**Proof of (5.55).** Given the symmetry, we have

\[ E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i \right)^2 = nE(M_1^2) + n(n-1)E(M_1M_2). \]  

As \(|\sigma^{(1)}| \leq n\), we have \(E(M_1^2) \leq Cn^4\). For \(E(M_1M_2)\), we define

\[ m_i = \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle |\langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle|, \]
\[ m_i^{(1,2)} = \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle |\langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle|. \]

where \(i = 1, 2\). Then, we have \(|m_i - m_i^{(1,2)}| \leq Cn\). Thus,

\[ E(M_1M_2) = E(M_1^{(1,2)}M_2^{(1,2)}) + L_4, \]

where \(|L_4| \leq Cn^3\) and

\[ M_i^{(1,2)} = m_i^{(1,2)} - E \left( m_i^{(1,2)} \right| \sigma^{(1,2)}). \]

Let \((\xi_1, \xi_2)\) be as defined in (5.63). By (5.60)–(5.62), we have

\[ \left| E \left( M_1^{(1,2)}M_2^{(1,2)} \right) - E \left( \tilde{M}_1^{(1,2)}\tilde{M}_2^{(1,2)} \right) \right| \leq Cn^3, \]

where for \(i = 1, 2\),

\[ \tilde{M}_i^{(1,2)} = \tilde{m}_i^{(1,2)} - E \left( \tilde{m}_i^{(1,2)} \right| \sigma^{(1,2)}), \]
\[ \tilde{m}_i^{(1,2)} = \langle \xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle |\langle \xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle|. \]

As \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_2\) are conditionally independent given \(\sigma^{(1,2)}\), we have

\[ E(\tilde{M}_1^{(1,2)}\tilde{M}_2^{(1,2)}) = 0, \]

and by (5.72) and (5.73) we have \(|E(M_1M_2)| \leq Cn^3\). Together with (5.71), we complete the proof of (5.55).

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.4.** As the vertices are colored independently and uniformly, we can construct the exchangeable pair as follows. Let \(\xi_1', \cdots, \xi_n'\) be independent copies of \(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n\), and \(I\) be a random index independent of all others and uniformly distributed over \(\{1, \cdots, n\}\). Recall that

\[ W := W_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in A_i} \frac{1_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \frac{1}{cn}}{m_{\xi_i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{cn} \right)}. \]
We replace \( \xi_I \) with \( \xi'_I \) in \( W \) to obtain a new random variable \( W' \); then, \((W,W')\) is an exchangeable pair. Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be the sigma field generated by \( \{\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n\} \) and \( \sigma^2 = \frac{m_n}{c_n}(1 - \frac{1}{c_n}) \). We have

\[
E(W - W' \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} \right) \mathcal{X} \\
= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \frac{1}{c_n} \right) \mathcal{X} \\
= \frac{2}{n} W.
\]

Hence, (2.2) holds with \( \lambda = \frac{2}{n} \) and \( R_n = 0 \). By Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove

\[
E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E \left( (W - W')^2 \mid W \right) \right| 
\leq C(\sqrt{1/c_n} + \sqrt{d_n^* / m_n} + \sqrt{c_n / m_n})
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} E \left| E \left( (W - W')W - W' \mid W \right) \right| 
\leq C(\sqrt{d_n^* / m_n} + \sqrt{c_n / m_n}),
\]

where \( C \) is an absolute constant and \( d_n^* = \max\{d_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \).

**Proof of (5.74).** Observe that

\[
E \left( (W - W')^2 \mid \mathcal{X} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} \right) \right)^2 \mathcal{X} \\
= \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} (\mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \frac{1}{c_n}) \right)^2 \right) + E \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} - \frac{1}{c_n} \right)^2 \mathcal{X} \\
= \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \right) + E \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi'_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \mathcal{X} \\
= \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(x, y) \right)^2 + E \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi'_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \mathcal{X},
\]

where

\[ h(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x = y\}} - 1/c_n. \]
By the law of total variance, we need only to bound the variance of the first term. Note that
\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \right) \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \\
+ \sum_{i \neq i'} \text{Cov} \left( \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2, \left( \sum_{l \in A_{i'}} h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l) \right)^2 \right)
\]
(5.77)
As
\[
\left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 = \sum_{j \in A_i} h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) + \sum_{j \neq l \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_l),
\]
we have
\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \leq 2 \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) + 2 \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \neq l \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_l) \right).
\]
Note that
\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) \\
= \mathbb{E} \left( \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) \mid \xi_i \right) \right) + \text{Var} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) \mid \xi_i \right) \right) \\
= d_i \left( \frac{1}{c_n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{c_n} \right) \left( 1 - 2 \frac{2}{c_n} + \frac{2}{c_n^2} \right) \right) \\
\leq d_i/c_n,
\]
where for every \( i \neq j \),
\[
\text{Var} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) \mid \xi_i \right) = (1/c_n)(1 - 1/c_n)(1 - 2/c_n + 2/c_n^2),
\]
(5.78)
and
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) \mid \xi_i \right) = (1/c_n)(1 - 1/c_n).
\]
(5.79)
Also, for \( j \neq l \neq i \), \( \mathbb{E}(h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_l)) = 0 \). Thus, we have
\[
\mathrm{Var}\left( \sum_{j \neq l \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_l) \right)
= \mathbb{E}\left( \sum_{j \neq l \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_l) \right)^2
= 2d_i(d_i - 1) \left( \frac{1}{c_n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{c_n} \right) \right)^2
\leq 2d_i^2/c_n^2.
\]

Therefore,
\[
(5.80) \quad \mathrm{Var}\left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \leq 4d_i/c_n + 4d_i^2/c_n^2.
\]

This gives the bound of the first term of (5.77). To bound the second term of (5.77), we let \( \delta_{ij'} = \mathbb{1}_{\{(i, j') \in E\}} \) for \( i \neq i' \), which indicates the connection between vertex \( i \) and \( i' \). We have
\[
\mathrm{Cov}\left( \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2, \left( \sum_{l \in A_{i'}} h(\xi_i, \xi_l) \right)^2 \right)
= \mathrm{Cov}\left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) + \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), \sum_{l \in A_{i'}} h^2(\xi_i, \xi_l) + \sum_{l \neq l' \in A_{i'}} h(\xi_i, \xi_l)h(\xi_i, \xi_{l'}) \right)
= \sum_{j \in A_i} \sum_{l \in A_{i'}} \mathrm{Cov}(h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_l))
+ \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i} \sum_{l \in A_{i'}} \mathrm{Cov}(h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_l))
+ \sum_{j \in A_i} \sum_{l \neq l' \in A_{i'}} \mathrm{Cov}(h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j), h(\xi_i, \xi_l)h(\xi_i, \xi_{l'}))
+ \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i} \sum_{l \neq l' \in A_{i'}} \mathrm{Cov}(h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), h(\xi_i, \xi_l)h(\xi_i, \xi_{l'}))
\]
\[
(5.81) \quad := H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4.
\]

Next, we compute the preceding covariances. For \( H_1 \), we have
\[
H_1 = \delta_{i'i'} \mathrm{Var}(h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'})) + \delta_{i'i'} \sum_{j \in A_i \setminus \{i'\}} \mathrm{Cov}(h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'}), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j)).
\]
+δ_{ii'} \sum_{l \in A_{i'} \setminus \{i\}} \text{Cov} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'}), h^2(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l) \right) \\
+ \sum_{j \in A_i \setminus \{i'\}} \sum_{l \in A_{i'} \setminus \{i\}} \text{Cov} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j), h^2(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l) \right).

For the first term, by (5.78) and (5.79), we have

$$\text{Var} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'}) \right) \leq \frac{1}{cn}.$$ 

For \( j \in A_i \setminus \{i'\} \), by (5.79), we have

$$\text{Cov} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'}), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) = \text{Cov} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'} \mid \xi_i) \right), \mathbb{E} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j \mid \xi_i) \right) \right) = 0.$$ 

Similarly, for \( l \in A_{i'} \setminus \{i\} \), we have

$$\text{Cov} \left( h^2(\xi_{i'}, \xi_i), h^2(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l) \right) = 0.$$ 

For the last term, if \( j \neq l \not\in \{i, i'\} \), then \( h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \) and \( h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l) \) are independent. If \( j = l \not\in \{i, i'\} \), by (5.79), we have

$$\text{Cov} \left( h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j), h^2(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l) \right) = 0.$$ 

Therefore,

\begin{equation}
|H_1| \leq \frac{\delta_{ii'}}{cn}. \tag{5.82}
\end{equation}

For \( H_2 \), we have

\begin{equation}
H_2 = \delta_{ii'} \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i} \text{Cov} \left( h(\xi_i, \xi_j) h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'}) \right) \\
+ \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i} \sum_{l \in A_{i'} \setminus \{i\}} \text{Cov} \left( h(\xi_i, \xi_j) h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_l) \right) \\
= H_{21} + H_{22}. \tag{5.83}
\end{equation}

For \( H_{21} \), if \( j \neq i' \) or \( j' \neq i' \), then

$$\text{Cov} \left( h(\xi_i, \xi_j) h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'}) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left( h(\xi_i, \xi_j) h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}) h^2(\xi_i, \xi_{i'}) \mid \xi_i, \xi_{i'} \right) = 0.$$
If \( j = i' \) or \( j' = i \), similarly,

\[
\text{Cov} (h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_j'), h^2(\xi_i, \xi_j)) = 0.
\]

Therefore,

\[
(5.84) \quad H_{21} = 0.
\]

For \( H_{22} \), the covariance is not zero only if \( \{j, j'\} = \{i', l\} \). Therefore,

\[
H_{22} = \sum_{l \in A_i \cap A_{i'}} \text{Cov} (h(\xi_i, \xi_{i'})h(\xi_i, \xi_l), h^2(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l))
\]

\[
= \sum_{l \in A_i \cap A_{i'}} \mathbb{E} (\mathbb{E} (h(\xi_i, \xi_{i'})h(\xi_i, \xi_l), h^2(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l) | \xi_{i'}, \xi_l))
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{cn} \sum_{l \in A_i \cap A_{i'}} \mathbb{E} (h^3(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l))
\]

\[
(5.85) \quad \leq C(d_i \wedge d_{i'})/c_n^2.
\]

Similarly,

\[
H_{22} \geq -C(d_i \wedge d_{i'})/c_n^2. \text{ By (5.83)–(5.85),}
\]

\[
(5.86) \quad |H_2| \leq C(d_i \wedge d_{i'})/c_n^2.
\]

Similarly,

\[
(5.87) \quad |H_3| \leq C(d_i \wedge d_{i'})/c_n^2.
\]

For \( H_4 \), we have

\[
H_4 = 2\delta_{ii'} \sum_{j \in A_i \backslash \{i'\}} \sum_{l \neq l' \in A_{i'}} \text{Cov} (h(\xi_i, \xi_{i'})h(\xi_i, \xi_j), h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l), h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_{i'}))
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i \backslash \{i'\}} \sum_{l \neq l' \in A_{i'}} \text{Cov} (h(\xi_i, \xi_j)h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_l), h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_{i'}))
\]

\[
:= H_{41} + H_{42}.
\]

For \( H_{41} \), the covariance is not zero only if \( \{l, l'\} = \{i, j\} \). Thus,

\[
|H_{41}| = 4\delta_{ii'} \sum_{j \in A_i \backslash A_{i'}} \text{Cov} (h(\xi_i, \xi_{i'})h(\xi_i, \xi_j), h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_i), h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_j))
\]

\[
\leq C\delta_{ii'}(d_i \wedge d_{i'})/c_n^2.
\]
For $H_{42}$, the covariance is not zero only if $\{j, j'\} = \{l, l'\}$.

\[
H_{42} = 2 \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i \cap A_{i'}} \text{Cov} \left( h(\xi_i, \xi_j) h(\xi_i, \xi_{j'}), h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_j) h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_{j'}) \right)
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i \cap A_{i'}} \text{Cov} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \big| \xi_j \right) \mathbb{E} \left( h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_j) \big| \xi_j \right), \mathbb{E} \left( h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_{j'}) \big| \xi_{j'} \right) \mathbb{E} \left( h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_{j'}) \big| \xi_{j'} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{c_n^2} \sum_{j \neq j' \in A_i \cap A_{i'}} \text{Var} \left( h(\xi_j, \xi_{j'}) \right)
\]

\[
\leq C (d_i \wedge d_{i'})^2 / c_n^3.
\]

Therefore,

\[
|H_4| \leq C \delta_{ii'} (d_i \wedge d_{i'}) / c_n^2 + C (d_i \wedge d_{i'})^2 / c_n^3.
\]

Combining (5.81), (5.82), (5.86), (5.87) and (5.88) we have

\[
\text{Cov} \left( \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2, \left( \sum_{j \in A_{i'}} h(\xi_{i'}, \xi_{j'}) \right)^2 \right)
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \delta_{ij} / c_n + (d_i \wedge d_{i'}) / c_n^2 + (d_i \wedge d_{i'})^2 / c_n^3 \right).
\]

By (5.77), (5.80) and (5.89), we have

\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right)^2 \right)
\]

\[
\leq C (d_n^* m_n / c_n^2 + m_n / c_n + m_n^2 / c_n^3).
\]

The law of total variance yields

\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} h(\xi_i', \xi_j) \right)^2 \big| \mathcal{A}^c \right) \right) \leq C \left( \frac{d_n^* m_n}{c_n^2} + \frac{m_n}{c_n} + \frac{m_n^2}{c_n^3} \right),
\]

and thus,

\[
\text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left( (W - W')^2 \big| \mathcal{A} \right) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{C}{\sigma^4} \left( \frac{d_n^* m_n}{c_n^2} + \frac{m_n}{c_n} + \frac{m_n^2}{c_n^3} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C (d_n^* / m_n + c_n / m_n + 1 / c_n).
\]

This completes the proof of (5.74). \qed
Proof of (5.75). This proof is slightly different from that of (5.74). Observe that
\[
E((W - W')|W - W'| | \mathcal{F}) = n\sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\left( \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} \right) \left| \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} \right| \right). 
\]
The variance of the preceding summation can be expanded to
\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var} \left( M_i \right) + \sum_{i \neq i'} \text{Cov} \left( M_i, M_{i'} \right),
\]
where
\[
M_i = E\left(\left( \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} \right) \left| \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} \right| \right).
\]
Noting that \(E(M_i) = 0\), we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{Var} \left( M_i \right) &= E \left( M_i^2 \right) \\
&\leq E \left( \left( \sum_{j \in A_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}} \right)^4 \right) \\
&\leq Cd_i \left( \frac{1}{c_n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{c_n} \right) \right) \left( 2d_i \left( \frac{1}{c_n} - \frac{1}{c_n^2} \right) + 1 \right).
\end{aligned}
\]
To calculate the covariance term, for each \(i \neq j\), let \(\eta_{ij} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_i = \xi_j\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi'_i = \xi_j\}}\),
\[
T_i = \sum_{j \in A_i} \eta_{ij}, \quad \text{and} \quad T_{i'} = \sum_{j \in A_i \setminus \{i'\}} \eta_{ij}.
\]
Then, \(M_i = E(T_i|T_i)\), and for some constant \(C\), we have
\[
\text{Cov} \left( M_i, M_{i'} \right) = E \left( T_i|T_i\right) - \text{Cov} \left( T_i|T_i\right)\text{Cov} \left( T_{i'}|T_{i'}\right).
\]
\[
\text{Cov} \left( T_i|T_i\right) = E \left( T_i|T_i\right) + E \left( T_{i'}|T_{i'}\right) + E \left( (T_i|T_i) - \delta_{ii'} (T_i|T_i) \right) \right) + E \left( (T_{i'}|T_{i'}) - \delta_{ii'} (T_{i'}|T_{i'}) \right) \right).
\]
Define $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma\{\xi_j, j \neq i\}$. Given $\mathcal{F}_i$, $T_i|T_i|$ and $T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}|$ are conditionally independent,

$$E\left(T_i|T_i|T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right) = E\left(T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right)E\left(T_i|T_i\right) \mathcal{F}_i = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$E\left(T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right) = 0,$$

and

$$E\left(T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$E(T_i|T_i|T_{i'}|T_{i'}) = E\left(T_i|T_i| - \delta_{ii'}T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right)(T_{i'}|T_{i'}| - \delta_{ii'}T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\delta_{ii'} = 1$. Note that

$$|T_i|T_{i'}| - \delta_{ii'}T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}|\right| = |(T_{i'} - T_{i'}^{(i)}T_i| + T_{i'}^{(i)}(|T_i| - |T_i^{(i)}|)| \leq 2|\eta_{ii'}T_{i'}^{(i)}| + |\eta_{ii'}^2|,$$

and thus,

$$E\left(T_i|T_i| - T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right)^2 \leq CE\left(\eta_{ii'}^2\left(T_{i'}^{(i)}\right)^2\right) + CE\left(\eta_{ii'}^4\right) = C\left(\sum_{j \in A \setminus \{i'\}}E\left(\eta_{ii'}^2\eta_{ij}\right)^2 + \sum_{j \neq i \in A \setminus \{i'\}}E\left(\eta_{ii'}^2\eta_{ij}\eta_{ii}\right) + E\left(\eta_{ii'}^4\right)\right) \leq Cd_i/c_n^2 + C/c_n.$$

Similarly,

$$E\left(T_{i'}|T_{i'}| - \delta_{ii'}T_{i'}^{(i)}|T_{i'}^{(i)}\right)^2 \leq Cd_{i'}/c_n^2 + C/c_n.$$

By (5.90) and the Cauchy inequality, we finally have

$$|E\left(T_i|T_i|T_{i'}|T_{i'}\right)| \leq C\sqrt{d_i d_{i'}/c_n^2} + C/c_n.$$

Similar to the proof of (5.74), we obtain the bound (5.75). \hfill \Box
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