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Abstract. We introduce and study a new percolation model, inspired by recent works on jigsaw percolation, graph bootstrap percolation, and percolation in polluted environments. Start with an oriented graph $G_0$ of initially occupied edges on $n$ vertices, and iteratively occupy additional (oriented) edges by transitivity, with the constraint that only open edges in a certain random set can ever be occupied. All other edges are closed, creating a set of obstacles for the spread of occupied edges. When $G_0$ is an unoriented linear graph, and leftward and rightward edges are open independently with possibly different probabilities, we identify three regimes in which the set of eventually occupied edges is either all open edges, the majority of open edges in one direction, or only a very small proportion of all open edges. In the more general setting where $G_0$ is a connected unoriented graph of bounded degree, we show that the transition between sparse and full occupation of open edges occurs when the probability of open edges is $(\log n)^{-1/2+o(1)}$. We conclude with several conjectures and open problems.
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1 Introduction

Suppose that we have $n$ logical statements, each represented by a vertex of a graph $V$, and that they are all equivalent, but we are not aware of this fact. The initial information consists of some implications, and is realized as an oriented subgraph $G_0 = (V, E_0)$. We then try to logically complete the knowledge by transitivity. However, a capricious “censor” allows only certain conclusions to be made, represented by open edges. A natural question is whether a substantial proportion of uncensored knowledge can be obtained by this transitive closure process.

Another application is as follows. Suppose we want to compute the product $a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}$ in a noncommutative group. However, some of the subproducts, and their inverses, are not allowed to be computed. Can the product still be computed? If all $a_i$ and $a_i^{-1}$ are initially known, then $G_0$ is the unoriented linear graph $L_n$ on the points $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with edges between nearest neighbors. Rightward edges in $G_0$ represent the $a_i$, leftward edges in $G_0$ represent their inverses $a_i^{-1}$, and vertices in $G_0$ are positions for multiplication brackets. Longer edges between vertices in $[n]$ represent other elements in the group.

We now introduce our dynamics more formally. All of our graphs will have a fixed vertex set $V$ of $n$ points. In many contexts, it is convenient to take $V = [n]$. We denote oriented and unoriented edges using the notations $i \rightarrow j$ and $i \leftrightarrow j$. Throughout we identify unoriented edges with two edges in both directions. As our focus is transitive closure, it is convenient to adopt the notation $i \rightarrow j \rightarrow k$ for the pair of oriented edges $i \rightarrow j$ and $j \rightarrow k$. Likewise, we make use of similar abbreviations, such as $i \leftrightarrow j \rightarrow k$ and $i \rightarrow j \leftrightarrow k$.

We consider an evolving sequence $G_t = (V, E_t)$, $t = 0, 1, \ldots$ of graphs, with the set of occupied edges $E_t \subset V \times V$ by time $t$ nondecreasing in time, that is, $E_t \subset E_{t+1}$. We denote the set of eventually occupied edges by $E_\infty = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} E_t$, and put $G_\infty = (V, E_\infty)$. More specifically, our transitive closure dynamics, once initialized by some $G_0 = (V, E_0)$, are governed by another graph $G_{\text{open}} = (V, E_{\text{open}})$, where $E_{\text{open}} \subset (V \times V) \setminus E_0$ are open edges. Note, in particular, that the sets of initially occupied and open edges are disjoint, $E_{\text{open}} \cap E_0 = \emptyset$. The edges in $(V \times V) \setminus (E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0)$ are called closed. The status of self-loops $i \leftrightarrow i$ will be irrelevant, but for concreteness, we assume they are all closed. The dynamics evolve as follows: given the set of occupied edges $E_t$ at time $t$, we let

$$E_{t+1} = E_t \cup \{i \rightarrow j \in E_{\text{open}} : i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j \in E_t, \text{ for some } k \in V\}.$$  

In words, an open edge $i \rightarrow j$ becomes occupied at time $t + 1$ if there is a series of two occupied edges $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j$ at time $t$.

If $G_0$ is strongly connected and all edges not initially occupied are open, then it is clear that $G_\infty$ is a complete graph. Thus it is natural to ask what happens when some — most, in our case — edges are closed and thus unable to ever become occupied. In this introduction, we will assume that $G_0$ is a deterministic connected unoriented graph. In general, when $G_0$ does not have extra structure, $G_{\text{open}}$ will be the oriented Erdős–Rényi graph with edge probability $p_{\text{open}} > 0$. (To be more precise, this is a slightly modified version in which each oriented edge $not$ in $E_0$ is open with probability $p_{\text{open}} > 0$ and closed otherwise.) We note here that the case when $G_{\text{open}}$ is unoriented is easier, and also results like Theorem 1.2 below are not possible.
Some of our results are concerned with the specific case when \( G_0 = L_n \) is the unoriented linear graph with edges \( 1 \leftrightarrow 2 \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow n \), and it is in this case that we may assign different probabilities \( p_{\text{left}} > 0 \) and \( p_{\text{right}} > 0 \) to leftward and rightward open edges. The probabilities \( p_{\text{open}}, p_{\text{left}} \) and \( p_{\text{right}} \) may depend on \( n \), however, we suppress this notationally whenever the dependence is clear in context.

We say that a subset \( V' \subset V \) is saturated at time \( t \) if all open edges in \( V' \times V' \) are occupied at this time. When we do not make a reference to time, we mean \( t = \infty \), that is, \( V' \) is saturated eventually. For an edge \( i \to j \), we define its length as the number of edges on the shortest oriented path in the graph \( G_0 \) from \( i \) to \( j \) (or \( \infty \) if no such path exists). For instance, when \( G_0 = L_n \), the length of \( i \to j \) is simply \( |i - j| \).

Our first result is for general initial graphs of bounded degree.

Recall that a sequence of events \( A_n \) hold asymptotically almost surely, abbreviated a.a.s., if their probabilities converge to 1.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \( G_0 = (V, E_0) \) is a connected unoriented graph on \( V = [n] \) with vertex degrees bounded by a constant \( D \), and that open (oriented) edges are chosen independently (from amongst those not in \( E_0 \)) with probability \( p_{\text{open}} \). Fix a constant \( \alpha > 0 \). Then there exist constants \( c \in (0, \infty) \) depending on \( D \) and \( \alpha \), and \( C \in (0, \infty) \) depending only on \( D \), so that the following statements hold.

1. When \( p_{\text{open}} < c \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \), a.a.s. \( E_\infty \) contains no edge longer than \( \alpha \log n \).
2. When \( p_{\text{open}} > C \frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \), a.a.s. saturation occurs, \( E_\infty = E_0 \cup E_{\text{open}} \).

We remark that the identical result (with easier proof) holds under the assumption that \( G_{\text{open}} \) is the unoriented Erdős–Rényi graph with probability \( p_{\text{open}} \) of open edges.

Our next theorem establishes three regimes in the case of the unoriented linear graph.

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that \( G_0 = L_n \) is the unoriented linear graph on \( V = [n] \) with vertex set \( E_0 \) consisting of all edges \( 1 \leftrightarrow 2 \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow n \). Suppose that open leftward and rightward edges are chosen independently (from amongst those not in \( E_0 \)) with probabilities \( p_{\text{left}} \) and \( p_{\text{right}} \). Fix a constant \( \alpha > 0 \). Then there exist constants \( c \in (0, \infty) \) and \( A \in (0, 1) \) depending on \( \alpha \), and a constant \( C \in (0, \infty) \), so that the following three statements hold.

1. When \( \max\{p_{\text{left}}, p_{\text{right}}\} < c \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \), a.a.s. \( E_\infty \) contains no edge longer than \( \alpha \log n \).
2. When \( p_{\text{left}} < c \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \) and \( p_{\text{right}} > A \), a.a.s. \( E_\infty \) contains all open rightward edges longer than \( \alpha \log n \), but no such leftward edge.
3. When \( \min\{p_{\text{left}}, p_{\text{right}}\} > C \frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \), a.a.s. saturation occurs, \( E_\infty = E_0 \cup E_{\text{open}} \).

While it is not realistic to expect that simple simulations can distinguish between \( \sqrt{\log n} \) and a constant, we illustrate the three regimes guaranteed by Theorem 1.2 in Fig. 1.1.
Figure 1.1: Illustration of the three regimes in Theorem 1.2 when $n = 300$: subcritical (left, with $p_{\text{left}} = 0.24$, $p_{\text{right}} = 0.36$), intermediate (middle, with $p_{\text{left}} = 0.2$, $p_{\text{right}} = 0.4$; note the non-monotone fashion in which edges are occupied), and supercritical (right, with $p_{\text{left}} = p_{\text{right}} = 0.35$; note the nucleation). The dynamics are represented as the evolution of the adjacency matrix, with edges exhibited as sites in the square. Initially occupied sites next to the diagonal are black, closed sites are grey and open sites are white. After the transitive closure process is complete, the initially white sites that become occupied are colored according to the time of occupation, from blue (the earliest) to yellow (the latest).

It appears to be a challenge to extend the subcritical case (1), due to interactions between leftward and rightward edges.

For comparison, we also state the following result for the oriented linear graph $G_0 = L_n^\to$, where the edges $1 \to 2 \to \cdots \to n$ are initially occupied, all other rightward edges are open with some probability $p_{\text{right }}> 0$, and all leftward edges are closed ($p_{\text{left}} = 0$). For reasons that will become clear in Section 3, we call this instance of our process Catalan percolation. In contrast with the unoriented case $G_0 = L_n$, where saturation occurs at a probability $(\log n)^{-1/2 + o(1)}$ of open edges, in this oriented case the probability must be very close to 1 for saturation. Part (3) of the following theorem calculates the asymptotics of this probability. Parts (1) and (2) show that the threshold for “near-saturation” is of constant order, bounded away from 0 and 1.

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume $G_0 = L_n^\to$ is the oriented linear graph on $V = [n]$ with edge set $E_0$ consisting of all edges $1 \to 2 \to \cdots \to n$. Suppose that open leftward and rightward edges are chosen independently (from amongst those not in $E_0$) with probabilities $p_{\text{left}} = 0$ and $p_{\text{right}} = p$. Then the following statements hold.

1. For any constant $p < 1/4$, a.a.s. $E_\infty$ contains no edge longer than $C \log n$, for some constant $C = C(p)$.

2. There is a constant $p_u < 1$ so that for all constants $p \in (p_u,1)$, a.a.s. $E_\infty$ contains all open edges of length $C' \log n$, for some constant $C' = C'(p)$.

3. If $p = 1 - \alpha n^{-1/2}$, for some constant $\alpha > 0$, then the probability of saturation ($E_\infty$ contains all open rightward edges) approaches $e^{-\alpha^2}$ as $n \to \infty$. 


To put our results in the context of the literature, let us note that the algorithm by which edges become occupied according to (1.1) is related to graph bootstrap percolation \[8, 4, 7\] (in particular, see the discussion following Problem 6 in [4]), but in its analysis, as well as in its modeling of increasing partial knowledge, it more closely resembles jigsaw percolation \[11, 20, 10, 13\]. As is clear from Fig. 1.1, the supercritical regime in this process is characterized by nucleation. That is, local events create a network of occupied edges large enough to be unstoppable: with high probability it continues to occupy edges on its boundary until, finally, no open edges remain unoccupied. Perhaps the most well-known nucleation process is bootstrap percolation \[26, 12\], which has been studied in great detail and yielded numerous deep and surprising results. Here we only mention three milestone papers \[1, 22, 3\]. Due to the fundamental significance of this model, methods and concepts which have resulted from its study are likely useful in the analysis of any nucleation process, and ours is no exception. We should also mention that the polluted version of bootstrap percolation has also been investigated \[19, 17, 18\], however, with the emphasis on random initial states and thus on results of a different flavor.

By contrast, Catalan percolation and the related intermediate regime have ties to classical results on random graphs: we establish the constant-order threshold for the formation of a giant component, while saturation is avoided primarily by the appearance of the shortest closed edges that can prevent it, which is analogous to the containment problem \[23\]. Finally, we also mention the work of Karp \[24\], which studies strongly connected components in directed random graphs and the time to complete the transitive closure process.

1.1 Outline

Most of the rest of this article is devoted to proofs of the above three theorems. We in fact prove a bit more, and so some of the statements will be given in a more general form. Due to the connections between the parts of these results, they are proved in a different order than stated above: In Section 2 we prove the subcritical result Theorem 1.1 (1) for bounded-degree initial graphs \(G_0\). This implies Theorem 1.2 (1) for the linear graph \(G_0 = L_n\). Theorem 1.3 for Catalan percolation is proved in Section 3. Theorem 1.3 (2) is used to establish the statement about rightward edges in the intermediate result Theorem 1.2 (2). The remainder of this result, concerning leftward edges, is dealt with in Section 4. Section 5 establishes the supercritical result Theorem 1.1 (2), which implies Theorem 1.2 (3). We conclude with Section 6 which contains a selection of open problems.

1.2 Notation

We use standard asymptotic notation throughout, such as \(f \ll g\) and \(f = o(g)\) if \(f(n)/g(n) \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\). In particular, \(o(1)\) denotes a function \(f\) such that \(f \ll 1\).
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2 Subcritical regime for bounded-degree initial graphs

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 (1), which recall implies Theorem 1.2 (1).

We begin with a series of deterministic Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 that provide a necessary condition for an edge \( e \) to become occupied. Roughly speaking, Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of a set \( I_e \), larger than the length of \( e \), with the property that each \( v \in I_e \) is the base of a special type of oriented triangle, called a horn. The most crucial property of a horn is that it contains at least one open edge. Horns will also play a key role in the subsequent Sections 4 and 5 (intermediate and supercritical regimes). The next result Lemma 2.4 establishes an Aizenman–Lebowitz [1] type property for the sizes of sets \( I_e \) of eventually occupied edges. These results, together with a simple search algorithm Lemma 2.5 for edge-disjoint horns based in a set \( I_e \), imply the main result Theorem 1.1 (1).

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that \( i \rightarrow j \in E_\infty \). Then there exists an oriented path from \( i \) to \( j \) in \( G_0 \).

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on the time of occupation. The statement is immediate for edges in \( E_0 \). For an edge \( i \rightarrow j \in E_{t+1} \), there are edges \( i \rightarrow w \rightarrow j \in E_t \), and so by induction, oriented paths from \( i \) to \( w \) and from \( w \) to \( j \). Concatenating these paths, we obtain an oriented path from \( i \) to \( j \) (after deleting any loops). ■

For edges \( e \in E_\infty \), we define

\[ I_e = \{V_0 \subset V : \text{the subgraph of } (V, E_0 \cup E_{\text{open}}) \text{ induced by } V_0 \text{ makes } e \text{ occupied}\}. \]

With each such \( e \), we associate an arbitrary \( I_e \in I_e \) of minimal cardinality.

Our next lemma shows that if \( v \in I_e \), then \( v \) is in a triangle of a certain type.
Let $K \subset V$. A vector $(v, x, y) \in K^3$ is a horn in $K$ if any of the following conditions are satisfied (see Fig. 2.1):

1. $x \rightarrow v \rightarrow y \in E_0$ and $x \rightarrow y \in E_{\text{open}}$,
2. $v \rightarrow y \in E_{\text{open}}$, $v \rightarrow x \in E_0$ and $x \rightarrow y \in E_0 \cup E_{\text{open}}$,

or, in the opposite orientation,

3. $x \leftarrow v \leftarrow y \in E_0$ and $x \leftarrow y \in E_{\text{open}}$,
4. $v \leftarrow y \in E_{\text{open}}$, $v \leftarrow x \in E_0$ and $x \leftarrow y \in E_0 \cup E_{\text{open}}$.

In all cases, we call $v$ the base and $y$ the tip of the horn.

![Figure 2.1: The four ways $v$ can be the base and $y$ the tip of a horn. Initially occupied edges in $E_0$ are represented by solid arrows, open edges in $E_{\text{open}}$ by dotted arrows, and edges in $E_0 \cup E_{\text{open}}$ by dashed arrows.](image)

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume $e \in E_{\infty} \setminus E_0$, and $v \in I_e$. Then $v$ is the base of a horn in $I_e$.

**Proof.** Replace $V$ with $I_e$, and replace $G_0$ and $G_{\text{open}}$ with their subgraphs induced by $I_e$, so that $E_0$ and $E_{\text{open}}$ now only contain edges between vertices in $I_e$.

By the minimality of $I_e$, we have $I_e \setminus \{v\} \notin \mathcal{I}_e$. Therefore, informally, some edge in $E_{\text{open}}$ would not get occupied without the “help” of $v$. Let $e'$ be some such edge in $E_t$, where $t \geq 1$ is the first time such an edge becomes occupied. There are two cases:

(a) If $v$ is an endpoint of $e'$, then either $e' = v \rightarrow y$ or $e' = v \leftarrow y$ for some $y \in I_e \setminus \{v\}$. Therefore, by the choice of $t$, it follows in these cases that $v \rightarrow x \in E_0$ and $x \rightarrow y \in E_{t-1}$ or $v \leftarrow x \in E_0$ and $x \leftarrow y \in E_{t-1}$ for some $x \in I_e \setminus \{v, y\}$, and hence that $v$ is the base of a horn in $I_e$.

(b) On the other hand, if $e' = x \rightarrow y$ for some $x, y \notin I_e \setminus \{v\}$, then $x \rightarrow v \rightarrow y \in E_{t-1}$. If $x \rightarrow v \rightarrow y \in E_0$ then it is immediate that $v$ is the base of a horn in $I_e$. Otherwise, if $x \rightarrow v \notin E_0$ use Lemma 2.2 with $V_2 = \{v\}$ to see that $v$ is the base of a horn in $I_e$. Similarly, if $v \rightarrow y \notin E_0$, use $V_1 = \{v\}$ instead. ■
Next, we state a crucial property for establishing the subcritical regime of our iterative growth process. This property, first formulated by Aizenman and Lebowitz [1] in the context of bootstrap percolation, implies that the transitive closure dynamics create sets, with certain internal properties, of sizes on all scales smaller than the longest length of an occupied edge. The proof hinges on a slowed-down version of the dynamics, whereby at each time step we occupy a single open edge (that can be occupied by a transitive step). This edge is chosen arbitrarily from the available edges until no such edge exists. The monotonicity of the original process implies that any slowed-down version produces the same set of eventually occupied edges.

Recall that the length of an edge \( e = i \rightarrow j \) is the number of edges in the shortest oriented path (\( \infty \) if no such path exists) from \( i \) to \( j \) in \( G_0 \).

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume \( e_0 \in E_\infty \) has length \( \ell \). Then for every integer \( k \in [1, \ell] \), there is an edge \( e \) with \( |I_e| \in [k + 1, 2k] \).

**Proof.** Remove all edges from \( E_0 \cup \text{open} \) besides those between vertices of \( I_{e_0} \), and then consider the slowed-down process, terminated once \( e_0 \) is occupied. If at some step an edge \( e = x \rightarrow y \in E_\text{open} \) is occupied by “parent” edges \( e' = x \rightarrow z \) and \( e'' = z \rightarrow y \), then \( I_{e'} \cup I_{e''} \subset I_e \) and so \( |I_e| \leq |I_{e'}| + |I_{e''}| \). Therefore, at each step of the slowed-down process, the maximal cardinality of \( |I_e| \), over all edges \( e \) occupied thus far, at most doubles. As this maximum starts at 2 and ends at \( |I_{e_0}| \), the claim follows, noting that \( |I_{e_0}| \geq \ell + 1 \) by Lemma 2.1 (and since, by assumption, \( e_0 \) has length \( \ell \)).

For the rest of this section, assume that the in-degrees and out-degrees of the initial graph \( G_0 \) are bounded by an integer \( D \geq 1 \).

In this setting, we collect one more lemma before turning to the main result of this section.

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose that \( K \subset V \) is such that all \( v \in K \) are bases of horns in \( K \). Then there is a set \( K_0 \subset K \) of size at least \( |K|/(9D) \) so that horns (in \( K \)) for each \( v \in K_0 \) can be chosen so that their edge-sets are pairwise disjoint.

**Proof.** This can be proved by a simple search algorithm. Order the vertices of \( K \) arbitrarily. Start with \( K_0 = \emptyset \) and another set \( U = \emptyset \) of used vertices, and enlarge them as follows. Let \( d_0 \) be the graph distance in \( G_0 \). In each step, find the first vertex \( v \) such that \( d_0(v, U) > 1 \) and a horn \( (v, x, y) \) in \( K \). Note that \( x \notin U \), but the tip \( y \) could possibly be in \( U \). Add \( v \) to \( K_0 \) and all of \( v, x, y \) to \( U \). The proof now follows by observing that, after \( t \) steps, there are at most \( 3(1 + 2D)t \leq 9Dt \) vertices \( u \) such that \( d_0(u, U) \leq 1 \), and that any horn based some \( v' \) with \( d_0(v', U) > 1 \) does not involve any edges between vertices in \( U \).

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.1 (1), which we state below in a stronger form, as we do not need to assume that the initial graph in unoriented.

**Theorem 2.6.** Assume that \( G_0 = (V, E_0) \) is a connected on \( V = [n] \) with in-degrees and out-degrees bounded by a constant \( D \). Fix a constant \( \alpha > 0 \). Then there exists a constant \( c = c(\alpha) > 0 \), so that if open (oriented) edges are chosen independently (from amongst those not
in $E_0$) with probability $p_{\text{open}} < c/\sqrt{\log n}$, then
\[ P(\text{some edge of length at least } \alpha \log n \text{ becomes occupied}) \to 0, \]
as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The idea is to show that an occupied edge of length $\ell = \lfloor \alpha \log n \rfloor$ or longer implies the existence of many edge-disjoint horns, and so, many open edges. To this end, consider the unoriented graph $\tilde{G}_0$ obtained from $G_0$ by ignoring orientation, i.e., $i \leftrightarrow j$ is an edge of $\tilde{G}_0$ if either $i \leftarrow j \in G_0$ or $i \rightarrow j \in G_0$.

First note that, for any fixed (deterministic) set $K \subset V$ of size $k$,
\[ P(v \text{ is the base of a horn in } K) \leq 2(2D)^2 p_{\text{open}} + 2Dk p_{\text{open}}^2 \]
where (see Fig. 2.1) the first term bounds the event that $v$ is the base of a horn in $K$ with only one open edge, and the other term bounds the case of other types of horns involving two open edges. Next, by Lemma 2.5 and the van den Berg–Kesten inequality [27] we claim that, for any such $K$, the probability that all vertices in $K$ are bases of horns in $K$ is at most
\[ 2^k (8D^2 p_{\text{open}} + 2Dk p_{\text{open}}^2)^{k/(9D)}. \]
Indeed, the number of ways to select $k/(9D)$ vertices in $K$ is $\binom{k}{k/(9D)} \leq 2^k$. Further, any given $k/(9D)$ vertices in $K$ are bases of edge-disjoint horns in $K$ with probability at most the upper bound in (2.1) to the power $k/(9D)$.

Next, we claim that if an edge of length $\ell$ is occupied, then there is some $\tilde{G}_0$-connected set $K$ of size $k \in [\ell/2, \ell]$ such that all vertices $v \in K$ are bases of horns in $K$. To see this, note that if some $e_0$ of length at least $\ell$ is occupied then by Lemma 2.4 there is an edge $e \in E_\infty$ with $|I_e| \in [\ell/2, \ell]$. By Lemma 2.1 (with $k \in [\ell/2]$) $I_e$ is $\tilde{G}_0$-connected, and by Lemma 2.3 every $v \in I_e$ is the base of a horn in $I_e$, giving the claim.

Finally, by e.g. Lemma 3.5 in [20], the number of $\tilde{G}_0$-connected subsets of $V$ of size $k$ containing a given vertex is at most $(6D)^k$. Putting all of the above together, a union bound yields
\[ P(\text{some edge of length at least } \ell \text{ becomes occupied}) \]
\[ \leq n \sum_{k=\ell/2}^{\ell} (6D)^k \cdot 2^k (8D^2 p_{\text{open}} + 2Dk p_{\text{open}}^2)^{k/(9D)} \]
\[ \leq n \ell (12D)^\ell (8D^2 p_{\text{open}} + 2D\ell p_{\text{open}}^2)^{\ell/(18D)} \]
\[ = o(\alpha \log n) \left[ 12D(8cD^2/\sqrt{\log n} + 2D\alpha c^2)^{1/(18D)} \right]^{\lfloor \alpha \log n \rfloor} \ll 1 \]
for all sufficiently small $c > 0$. ■
3 Catalan percolation

In this section, we focus on Catalan percolation, which recall is the transitive closure process on the oriented linear graph $G_0 = L_n^\rightarrow$, consisting of the initially occupied edges $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n$, in the case that all leftward edges are closed ($p_{\text{left}} = 0$) and all rightward edges (of length at least 2) are open independently with some probability $p_{\text{right}} = p$. Observe that in this setting, the length of an edge $i \rightarrow j$ is simply $j - i$.

We first prove Theorem 1.3 (1) and (2), stated as Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 below, which together establish that the threshold for the occupation of “long” edges is of constant order, bounded between 0 and 1. The proof of Lemma 3.1 is a simple combinatorial argument, but reveals a connection with the Catalan numbers, which is the reason for the name of the process. On the other hand, Lemma 3.2 is proved by noticing that a certain restriction of the dynamics can be described using oriented percolation [14]. The probability of saturation (occupation of all open edges), Theorem 1.3 (3), is discussed afterwards at the end of this section.

Lemma 3.1. For any constant $p < 1/4$, there exists a constant $C = C(p)$ so that a.a.s. all edges in $E_\infty$ have length at most $C \log n$.

Proof. Assume $e$ is an oriented edge of length $\ell$. Let $E_e$ be the set of all inclusion-minimal sets of open edges (including $e$) that, together with edges in $E_0$, make $e$ occupied. By induction, it is easy to see that any $A \in E_e$ is of size $|A| = \ell - 1$, and moreover $|E_e| = C_\ell$, the $\ell$th Catalan number. One way to see this is to consider computing a product of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell$ as described in Section I and then each element in $E_e$ corresponds with a way of parenthesizing the product. Since $C_\ell \leq 4^\ell$, it follows that

$$P(\text{an edge of length at least } C \log n \text{ becomes occupied}) \leq n^2 p^{-1} (4p)^{C \log n} \ll 1$$

for all $C > -2/\log(4p)$.

In preparation for the proof of the next result, it will be useful to view the growth dynamics on $[n]^2$. As such, we will often use the terms “edge” and “site” interchangeably when referring to an edge $i \rightarrow j$ and its corresponding site $(i, j)$. As in Fig. 1.1, the site $(i, j)$ for an edge $i \rightarrow j$ is positioned in $[n]^2$ as in the adjacency matrix (with the $y$-axis oriented downwards). The initially occupied sites are those in $\{(i, i + 1) : i = 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$ and only the sites above this diagonal may ever become occupied. Open sites $(i, j) \in [n]^2$ become occupied once there are occupied sites $(i, k)$ and $(k, j)$, for some $i < k < j$.

The advantage of this point of view is its connection with oriented percolation. See Fig. 3.1. It is easy to see (by induction) that an open site $(i, j)$ becomes occupied if there is an oriented percolation path (moving one unit up or to the right in each step) along open sites, starting from some (initially occupied) site on the diagonal to $(i, j)$. Indeed, moving up from a site $(x, y)$ corresponds to occupying $(x - 1) \rightarrow y$ due to $x \rightarrow y$ and $(x - 1) \rightarrow x$ being occupied, and moving to the right corresponds to occupying $x \rightarrow (y + 1)$ due to $x \rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow (y + 1)$ being occupied. This connection plays a crucial role in the proof of Lemma 3.2 below, which shows by a contour/duality argument (standard for oriented percolation) that with high probability
all open edges of $i \rightarrow j$ of length at least $C \log n$ become occupied due to a pair of oriented paths from (possibly different starting points on) the diagonal to sites $(i,k)$ and $(k,j)$, for some $i < k < j$.

**Lemma 3.2.** There exists a constant $p_u < 1$ so that the following holds. If $p > p_u$, then there exists a constant $C = C(p)$ so that a.a.s. $E_\infty$ contains every open edge of length at least $C \log n$.

**Proof.** We divide the proof into three steps. Recall that, as discussed above, we identify edges $i \rightarrow j$ with sites $(i,j) \in [n]^2$.

**Step 1.** Assume that, for $i < j$, there exists an oriented percolation path of open or initially occupied sites connecting a site in $G_0$ to $i \rightarrow j$. Then $i \rightarrow j \in E_\infty$.

As already sketched above, the proof of Step 1 is a simple induction argument on the length $\ell$ of $i \rightarrow j$. The claim holds when $\ell = 1$ as those edges are in $E_0$. Otherwise, for $\ell > 1$, the oriented percolation path from the diagonal to $(i,j)$ must visit either $(i,j-1)$ or $(i+1,j)$ (i.e., either the site to the left or below $(i,j)$ in the adjacency matrix) before reaching $(i,j)$. So, by the induction hypothesis, either $i \rightarrow (j-1)$ or $(i+1) \rightarrow j$ becomes occupied. Then, since $(j-1) \rightarrow j$ and $i \rightarrow (i+1)$ are initially occupied, the claim follows.

**Step 2.** Fix an $\ell > 1$. Let $F_\ell$ be the event that strictly more than $\ell/2$ sites on $L = \{(1,i) : 2 \leq i \leq \ell + 1\}$ are connected to $G_0$ through oriented percolation paths. Then, for $p > 1 - 2^{-32}$, we claim that

$$P(F_\ell) \leq 2 \cdot 8^{\ell}(1 - p)^{\ell/8}.$$  

This follows by a typical contour argument (see e.g. [14] Section 10).
Choose any subset $S$ of $L$ of size at least $\ell/2$, and assume that $S$ is exactly the set of sites that are not connected to $G_0$ by oriented percolation paths. Write $S = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_m$, where $S_i$ are non-adjacent intervals. Then, by a standard duality argument, there exist disjoint paths $\pi_i : x_0^{(i)}, \ldots, x_t^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, such that (1) $||x_j^{(i)} - x_{j-1}^{(i)}||_\infty = 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, t_i$, (2) $x_0^{(i)}$ and $x_t^{(i)}$ are the endpoints of $S_i$, and (3) such that at least $t_i/4$ sites on $\pi_i$ (determined as a function of $\pi_i$) are closed.

Form a path $\pi$ by connecting together all intervals in $L \setminus S$ and all paths $\pi_i$. As $|L \setminus S| \leq \sum_i t_i$, the proportion of closed sites on $\pi$ is at least $1/8$. Trivially, the length $t$ of $\pi$ is at least $\ell$. It follows that

$$\Pr(F^c_\ell) \leq \Pr(\pi \text{ exists}) \leq \sum_{t \geq \ell} 8^t (1 - p)^{t/8},$$

which establishes Step 2.

**Step 3.** Conclusion of the proof, by the pigeonhole principle.

Let $L' = \{(i, \ell + 1) : 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$, and $F'_\ell$ the event that strictly more than $\ell/2$ sites on $L'$ are connected to $G_0$ through oriented percolation paths. If $p$ is close enough to 1, then by symmetry and Step 2,

$$\Pr(F_\ell \cap F'_\ell) \geq 1 - \exp(-\gamma\ell),$$

for some constant $\gamma > 0$ (not depending on $\ell$). Suppose that $F_\ell \cap F'_\ell$ occurs. Then, by Step 1 and the pigeonhole principle, there exists an $i \in [1, \ell]$ such that $(1, i) \in L$ and $(i, \ell + 1) \in L'$ are eventually occupied, in which case $(1, \ell + 1)$ becomes occupied if open. It follows that

$$\Pr((1, \ell + 1) \text{ is open but never occupied}) \leq \Pr((F_\ell \cap F'_\ell)^c) \leq \exp(-\gamma\ell).$$

Therefore,

$$\Pr(\text{there is an open edge of length at least } C \log n \text{ that is never occupied}) \leq n^2 \exp(-\gamma C \log n) \ll 1$$

for any $C > 2/\gamma$.

The final task of this section is to address saturation for Catalan percolation.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** For $1 \leq i \leq n - 3$, let $Z_i$ be the indicator of the event that the edge $i \to (i + 3)$ is open but never occupied (i.e., $i \to (i + 2)$ and $(i + 1) \to (i + 3)$ are both closed). The random variable $N = \sum_i Z_i$ has $\mathbb{E}N = (n - 3)(1 - p)^2 p$ and, as we will see, converges in distribution to a Poisson($\alpha^2$) random variable by an application of the Chen–Stein method [6]. Indeed, $Z_i$ and $Z_j$ are independent unless $|i - j| \leq 1$, therefore the total variation distance between (the distribution of) $N$ and Poisson($\mathbb{E}N$) is bounded above by

$$\sum_i ((\mathbb{E}Z_i)^2 + \sum_{j:|i-j|=1} (\mathbb{E}Z_i \mathbb{E}Z_j + \mathbb{E}(Z_i Z_j))) \leq n \left[3(1 - p)^4 + 2(1 - p)^3 \right] = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}).$$
4 Intermediate regime for linear initial graphs

Therefore,

\[
\limsup_n \mathbb{P} \left( \text{all open oriented edges become occupied} \right) 
\leq \limsup_n \mathbb{P} \left( N = 0 \right) = \exp(-\alpha^2).
\]  

(3.1)

Now let \( H_\ell \) be the event that \( \ell \) is the minimal length of an unoccupied open edge. Note that if \( N = 0 \) then all open edges of length 3 become occupied. Therefore

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( \text{all open oriented edges become occupied} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( N = 0 \right) - \sum_{\ell \geq 4} \mathbb{P} \left( H_\ell \right).
\]  

(3.2)

Note that, on the event \( H_\ell \), there is an edge \((i, i+\ell)\) so that, for all \( 1 \leq j < \ell \), either \( i \to (i+j) \) or \((i+j) \to (i+\ell)\) is closed. It follows that

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( H_\ell \right) \leq n \cdot 2^{\ell-3}(1-p)^{\ell-1} \leq (2\alpha)^{\ell-1} n^{-1-(\ell-1)/2}.
\]  

(3.3)

By (3.2) and (3.3),

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( \text{all open oriented edges become occupied} \right) 
\geq \mathbb{P} \left( N = 0 \right) - \frac{(2\alpha)^3}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} (2\alpha/\sqrt{n})^\ell 
\]  

\[= \exp(-\alpha^2) - O(n^{-1/2}).\]

(3.4)

Putting the bounds (3.1) and (3.4) together completes the proof.  

4 Intermediate regime for linear initial graphs

For an edge \( i \to j \), we say that another edge \( x \to y \) is below \( i \to j \) if \( x, y \) are between \( i, j \). Similarly, we say that \( x \to y \) is above \( i \to j \) if one of \( x, y \) are on either side of \( i, j \).

In the Catalan percolation process studied above, where all edges are oriented in the same direction, an edge \( i \to j \) can only become occupied due to other edges below \( i \to j \) becoming occupied. On the other hand, if leftward and rightward edges are present (initially occupied or open), there are many ways in which they can interact, and so also ways in which edges can become occupied. See Fig. 4.1.

Figure 4.1: A leftward open edge \( i \leftarrow j \) becomes occupied due to occupied edges \( i \leftarrow k \leftarrow j \) of opposite orientations, for some \( k \notin [i, j] \).
4.1 The tilde process

It appears challenging to accurately control the interactions between leftward and rightward edges in any regime in between that of Theorem 1.2 (1) and (3). We present a modest result Theorem 1.2 (2) stating that, when \( p_{\text{left}} < c/\sqrt{\log n} \) and \( p_{\text{right}} > A \), for small enough \( c \) and large enough \( A \), a.a.s all open rightward edges longer than \( \alpha \log n \) are eventually occupied, however, no such leftward edges are ever occupied. The statement about rightward edges follows by Lemma 3.2 above. To prove the other statement, we show that, even if all rightward edges were to become occupied, a.a.s no long leftward edges become occupied.

More formally, we consider a modified tilde process \( \tilde{E}_t \), which describes the occupation of leftward edges in time, when all rightward edges are assumed to be initially occupied. The set \( \tilde{E}_0 \) of initially occupied leftward edges is given by \( 1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow n \). The set \( \tilde{E}_{\text{open}} \) of open leftward edges is obtained by opening leftward edges (of length at least 2) independently with probability \( p_{\text{left}} = p \). Given \( \tilde{E}_t \), an edge \( i \leftarrow j \in \tilde{E}_{t+1} \), provided that \( i \leftarrow k \in \tilde{E}_t \) and for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have that:

- \( i \leftarrow k \leftarrow j \in \tilde{E}_t \) and \( i < k < j \); or
- \( k \leftarrow j \in \tilde{E}_t \) and \( k < i \); or
- \( i \leftarrow k \in \tilde{E}_t \) and \( k > j \).

In other words, in each step of the tilde process, either an open leftward edge becomes occupied due to a usual transitive step, or else, some open leftward edge becomes occupied which is below, and shares an endpoint with, a previously occupied leftward edge.

To show that these dynamics are subcritical for \( p < c/\sqrt{\log n} \), when \( c \) is small, we translate some of the ideas and definitions from Section 2.

We call an interval \( I \subset [n] \) good if either

- \( |I| = 2 \); or
- \( |I| \geq 3 \) and, for every \( \{i, i+1\} \subset I \), there exists a \( j \in I \) so that either (1) \( j < i \) and \( i \rightarrow j \leftarrow i+1 \in \tilde{E}_{\text{open}} \cup \tilde{E}_0 \), or else, (2) \( j > i+1 \) and \( i \leftarrow j \rightarrow i+1 \in \tilde{E}_{\text{open}} \cup \tilde{E}_0 \).

When such a \( j \) exits for \( i \in I \), we say that \( i \) is the base of a tilde horn in \( I \).

Assume that an edge \( e = i_1 \leftarrow i_2 \in \tilde{E}_\infty \). Associated with \( e \), we let \( \tilde{I}_e \) denote an interval \( I \) of minimal cardinality such that graphs on \( I \) induced by edges in \( \tilde{E}_0 \cup \tilde{E}_{\text{open}} \) make \( e \) occupied (by the tilde process dynamics). Note that \( [i_1, i_2] \subset \tilde{I}_e \).

The next lemma is an analogue of Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 4.1.** For any \( e \in \tilde{E}_\infty \), the interval \( \tilde{I}_e \) is good. That is, either \( e \in \tilde{E}_0 \), or else, for each \( \{i, i+1\} \subset \tilde{I}_e \), \( i \) is the base of a tilde horn in \( \tilde{I}_e \).
Proof. For any \( \{i_0, i_0 + 1\} \subset \tilde{I}_e \), an open edge over the initially occupied edge \( i_0 \leftarrow (i_0 + 1) \) must become occupied, or else the interval could be shortened. The first time \( t_0 \geq 1 \) such an edge \( i \leftarrow j \) becomes occupied, it follows by the minimality of \( t_0 \) that \( i \leftarrow k \leftarrow j \in E_{t_0-1} \) for some \( i < k < j \). That is, \( i \leftarrow j \) becomes occupied by a usual transitive step. Moreover, again by the minimality of \( t_0 \), either (1) \( i = i_0 \) and \( k = i_0 + 1 \), or else, (2) \( k = i_0 \) and \( j = i_0 + 1 \). \( \blacksquare \)

We also need counterpart of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. We omit the proof, since they are almost identical.

Lemma 4.2. Assume that \( e_0 \in \tilde{E}_\infty \) has length \( \ell \). Then, for every integer \( k \in [1, \ell] \), there exists an edge \( e \) with \( |\tilde{I}_e| \in [k + 1, 2k] \).

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that \( K \subset V \) is such that all \( v \in K \) are bases of tilde horns in \( K \). Then there is a set \( K_0 \subset K \) of size at least \(|K|/(9D)\) so that tilde horns (in \( K \)) for each \( v \in K_0 \) can be chosen so that their edge-sets are pairwise disjoint.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (2). As already mentioned, the statement for rightward edges follows by Lemma 3.2. The statement for leftward edges can be proved along the same lines as Theorem 2.6, but using Lemmas 4.1–4.3 instead of Lemmas 2.3–2.5. \( \blacksquare \)

5 Supercritical regime for bounded-degree initial graphs

Finally, we prove the supercritical result Theorem 1.1 (2) for bounded-degree initial graphs \( G_0 \). Recall that this result implies Theorem 1.2 (3). Before turning to the proof, we state a few preliminary observations, and briefly discuss some of the main parts of our strategy.

First, note that, we can assume that \( G_0 \) is an unoriented tree (i.e., replace \( G_0 \) it by a spanning subtree if necessary). Then using a result from [11], which follows from Lemma 6.1 in [20], we obtain a large number of edge-disjoint subtrees of \( G_0 \) of some (suitably chosen) size.

Lemma 5.1. For any tree with \( n \) vertices and integer \( L \in [1, n - 1] \) there exist \( \lceil (n - 1)/(2L^2) \rceil \) subtrees such that (1) each subtree has \( L \) edges, and (2) any two subtrees have at most 1 vertex in common.

Next, we prove the following lemma, which we will use in describing the spread of occupied edges via nucleation in the supercritical regime. Note that, once again, horns are playing a crucial role in our arguments.

Lemma 5.2. Assume that some subtree \( T \subset G_0 \) is internally saturated. Suppose that for every neighboring (in \( G_0 \)) vertices \( v, v' \) which are not both in \( T \), (1) there is a \( y \in T \) so that edges \( v \leftarrow y \rightarrow v' \in \tilde{E}_\text{open} \cup E_0 \) (oriented away from \( T \)), and (2) the set \( U_v \rightarrow \) of endpoints \( u \in T \) of edges \( u \rightarrow v \) is strongly connected by edges in \( \tilde{E}_\text{open} \cup E_0 \). Then all edges from \( T \) to \( G_0 \setminus T \) are eventually occupied. Likewise, a symmetric statement also holds in the reverse orientation (i.e., towards \( T \)).
Proof. This follows by a straightforward induction on the distance (in $G_0$) of a vertex $v \notin T$ to $T$. In (1), we choose $v'$ to be the neighbor (in the unoriented tree $G_0$) of $v$ that is closest to $T$. Then, by the inductive hypothesis, $y \to v'$ is eventually occupied. Therefore, since $v' \leftrightarrow v \in E_0$, it follows that $y \to v$ is eventually occupied. Next, by (2), there is a collection of oriented paths such that all (a) end at $y$, (b) visit only vertices in $U^{-}_{v}$, and (c) together visit all points in $U^{-}_{v}$. Therefore, starting with the eventually occupied edge $y \to v$, it follows by another induction (on the distance to $y$ along such oriented paths ending at $y$) that all edges from $U^{-}_{v}$ to $v$ are eventually occupied. Informally, we can backtrack (started from $y$) along such a path to $y$ until we eventually reach any given $u \in U^{-}_{v}$, occupying edges from this path to $v$ along the way. ■

Therefore, supposing that one of the subtrees $T \subset G_0$ (of size $L$ to be determined below) given by Lemma 5.1 is internally saturated, all other open edges in $E_{\text{open}}$ become occupied by Lemma 5.2, provided that $L$ is large enough so that, a.a.s. for all $x, y \notin T$, there are edges $x \to u \to y$ for some $u \in T$. Showing that at least one such subtree is internally saturated follows similarly, however, on this smaller scale slightly more delicate arguments are required.

In order to apply Lemma 5.2, we will require the following standard result about the connectivity of oriented Erdős–Rényi random graphs, the proof of which we only briefly sketch.

**Lemma 5.3.** Assume $G$ is an oriented Erdős–Rényi random graph on $n$ points with edge probability $p$. If $p = c \log n/n$ with $c > 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(G \text{ is not strongly connected}) = O(n^{1-c}).$$

If $p = n^{-\alpha}$, for some $\alpha < 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(G \text{ is not strongly connected}) \leq \exp(-n^{1-\alpha}/2).$$

**Proof.** If $G$ is not strongly connected, then there exists a nonempty set $A$ of $k \leq n/2$ points so that there are no outward connections, or no inward connections, from $A$ to $A^c$. Therefore (using the bounds $\binom{n}{k} \leq (ne/k)^k$ and $(1-x) \leq e^{-x}$),

$$\mathbb{P}(G \text{ is not strongly connected})$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \binom{n}{k} (1-p)^{k(n-k)}$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \exp[-k(pn + \log k - pk - \log n - 1)].$$

The desired inequalities then follow by dividing the above sum into two sums over $k \leq p^{-1/2}$ and $k > p^{-1/2}$. ■

Finally, we note that in our context, an event $E$ (i.e., a subset of the sample space $\Omega$ of all possible configurations $\omega$ of open and closed edges) is *increasing* if $\omega \in E$ implies $\omega_+ \in E$ whenever $\omega_+$ contains all open edges in $\omega$. In other words, an event is increasing if it cannot be
destroyed by the addition of open edges. Note that the event \( \{ V \text{ is saturated} \} \) is not increasing. To deal with this nuisance, we say that a set \( E \) of oriented edges between vertices in \( V \) is \textit{abundant} if for every \( i, j \in V \), there exists \( k \in V \) so that \( i \to k \to j \in E \). We record the following simple observations.

**Lemma 5.4.** The event \( \{ E_\infty \text{ is abundant} \} \) is increasing and

\[
\{ E_\infty \text{ is abundant} \} \subset \{ V \text{ is saturated} \}.
\]

We now prove the following result, which immediately implies Theorem 1.1 (2).

**Theorem 5.5.** Assume that \( G_0 = (V, E_0) \) is an unoriented connected graph. Suppose that open (oriented) edges are chosen independently (from amongst those not in \( E_0 \)) with probability \( p_{\text{open}} \geq C \log \log n/\sqrt{\log n} \), for some \( C > 4 \). Then, with high probability, \( V \) is saturated.

**Proof.** We divide the proof into several steps. In Step 1, we select edge-disjoint subtrees of \( G_0 \) of suitable sizes. Steps 2 and 3 show that if any of these trees are saturated, then a.a.s. so is \( V \). By similar, but more delicate reasoning, we show in Step 4 that indeed a.a.s. at least one such tree is internally saturated. The final Step 5 extends these results to larger \( p \).

**Step 1.** Recall that, for simplicity we may assume, without loss of generality, that \( G_0 \) is edge-minimal, that is, a spanning tree. Fix \( C > 8 \) and put

\[
k = \left\lceil \frac{\log n}{2 \log \log n} \right\rceil, \quad p = \sqrt{\frac{C \log k}{k}}.
\]

By Lemma 5.1, we fix subtrees \( T_m, m = 1, \ldots, \left\lfloor n/(4k^6) \right\rfloor \), of size \( k^3 \), no two of which share more than a single vertex. Generate the configuration of open edges \( E_\text{open} \) with \( p_{\text{open}} = p \).

**Step 2.** We claim that a.a.s. all subtrees \( T_m \) have the following properties:

1. For all \( j_1, j_2 \in [n] \) there are \( i_1, i_2, i_3 \in T_m \) such that all edges \( j_1 \leftarrow i_1 \to j_2, j_1 \to i_2 \leftarrow j_2 \) and \( j_1 \to i_3 \to j_2 \) are in \( E_\text{open} \cup E_0 \).

   In particular, for every \( j_1 \leftrightarrow j_2 \in E_0 \), the edges \( j_1 \leftarrow i_1 \to j_2, j_1 \to i_2 \leftarrow j_2 \) give horns \((j_1, j_2, i_1)\) and \((j_1, j_2, i_2)\), oriented towards and away from \( j_1 \) with their tips in \( i_1, i_2 \in T_m \).

2. For all \( j \notin T_m \), the sets

   \[
   U_j^- = \{ i \in T_m : i \to j \in E_\text{open} \cup E_0 \},
   \]

   \[
   U_j^+ = \{ i \in T_m : i \leftarrow j \in E_\text{open} \cup E_0 \}
   \]

   are strongly connected by edges in \( E_\text{open} \cup E_0 \).

To see this, we first claim that, for any given \( T_m \) and \( j_1, j_2 \in [n] \), the probability that property (1) fails is at most, for all large \( n \),

\[
3(1 - p^3)k^3 - 2 \leq 4 \exp(-p^2k^3) \leq 4 \exp(-k^2).
\]
This follows by a union bound: For a given $j_1, j_2$ there are at least $k^3 - 2$ vertices $i \in T_m \setminus \{j_1, j_2\}$. If (1) fails then either (a) for all such $i$ at least one of the edges $j_1 \leftrightarrow i \rightarrow j_2$ is closed, (b) for all such $i$ at least one of edges $j_1 \rightarrow i \leftrightarrow j_2$ is closed, or (c) for all such $i$ at least one of edges $j_1 \rightarrow i \rightarrow j_2$ is closed. For fixed $j_1, j_2$ any one of these events holds with probability at most $(1 - p^2)^{k^3 - 2}$ by independence.

By Lemma 5.3 above and standard Binomial tail bounds (e.g., Lemma 2.8 in [20]), for any given $T_m$ and $j \notin T_m$, the probability that any given $U_j^+$ or $U_j^-$ is not strongly connected is at most, for all large $n$,

$$\Pr(\text{Bin}(k^3, p) \leq pk^3/2) + \exp(-k^2/2) \leq \exp(-pk^3/7) + \exp(-k^2/2) \leq 2\exp(-k^2/2).$$

Hence, for all large $n$, all trees $T_m$ have properties (1) and (2) with probability at least

$$1 - \frac{n}{2k^6} [4n^2 \exp(-k^2) + 4n \exp(-k^2/2)] \geq 1 - n^3 \exp(-k^2/2) = 1 - o(1).$$

**Step 3.** Convert all open edges between vertices of $T_m$ to occupied. We claim that properties (1) and (2) for $T_m$ imply that all other open edges (not between vertices in $T_m$) are eventually occupied.

Indeed, using the horns provided by (1), the strong connectivity in (2) and Lemma 5.2 all open edges with exactly one endpoint in $T_m$ are eventually occupied. As discussed below the proof of Lemma 5.2 all other edges between $x, y \notin T_m$ are then occupied, using the edges $x \rightarrow i \rightarrow y \in E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0$ for some $i \in T$, provided by (1).

**Step 4.** A.a.s., some $T_m$ is saturated.

We show that any given subtree $T_m$ is saturated with probability at least $(2\sqrt{n})^{-1}$. Given this, recalling that any two subtrees share at most 1 vertex, it follows that some $T_m$ is saturated with probability at least

$$1 - (1 - (2\sqrt{n})^{-1})^{n/(4k^6)} \geq 1 - \exp(-\sqrt{n}/(8k^6)) = 1 - o(1).$$

Since the $T_m$ are of the same size, it suffices to consider the case $T_1$. Moreover, for notational convenience, let us assume that $T_1 = [1, k^3]$ and that for all $j \leq k^3$ the vertices in $[1, j]$ form a subtree of $T_1$.

**Step 4a.** For all large $n$, with probability at least $n^{-1/2} / \log n$ all edges $1 \leftrightarrow i \in [2, k]$, are in $E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0$ and hence $[1, k]$ is saturated.

Indeed, for large enough $n$, all such edges are in $E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0$ with probability at least

$$p^{2k} \geq (\log n)^{-k} \geq n^{-1/2} / \log n.$$  

By induction, all edges $1 \leftrightarrow i$ become occupied, and using these edges all other open edges can be occupied: if $i \rightarrow j$ is open, then it becomes occupied due to the occupied edges $i \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow j$.

**Step 4b.** A.a.s., for any $j_1, j_2 \in [k + 1, k^3]$ there are $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in [1, k]$ such that all edges $j_1 \leftrightarrow i_1 \rightarrow j_2$, $j_1 \rightarrow i_2 \leftrightarrow j_2$ and $j_1 \rightarrow i_3 \rightarrow j_2$ are in $E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0$. 

These edges play a similar role as those in Step 2 above. Moreover, the existence of such edges is proved similarly. For fixed $j_1, j_2$, a requisite $i_1$, say, will fail to exist with probability $(1 - p^3)k$ by independence. Therefore, noting that $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ and $kp^2 = C \log k$, such edges are not open with probability at most (recall $C > 8$)

$$3k^6(1 - p^3)^k \leq 6k^6 - C \ll 1.$$ 

Next, for $j \in [k + 1, k^3]$, we consider sets $V_j^\rightarrow, V_j^\leftarrow$ analogous to the sets $U_j^\rightarrow, U_j^\leftarrow$ considered in Step 2 above. However, in the present setting (where the subtree on $[1, k]$ is much smaller than $T_m$ of size $k^3$), strong connectivity no longer follows by a simple union bound.

**Step 4c.** For $j \in [k + 1, k^3]$, we claim that the sets

$$V_j^\rightarrow = \{ i \in [1, k] : i \to j \in E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0 \},$$

$$V_j^\leftarrow = \{ i \in [1, k] : i \leftarrow j \in E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0 \}$$

are a.a.s. strongly connected by edges in $E_{\text{open}} \cup E_0$.

Let $F_j^\rightarrow$ (resp. $F_j^\leftarrow$) be the event that $V_j^\rightarrow$ (resp. $V_j^\leftarrow$) is strongly connected by edges in $E_0 \cup E_{\text{open}}$. Let

$$B = \bigcap_{j \in [k + 1, k^3]} (F_j^\rightarrow \cap F_j^\leftarrow).$$

The crucial step is the following correlation inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(B) \geq \prod_{j \in [k + 1, k^3]} \mathbb{P}(F_j^\rightarrow) \mathbb{P}(F_j^\leftarrow).$$

To prove (5.1), let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all possible choices of $V_j^\rightarrow, V_j^\leftarrow$, that is, the set that contains all ordered selections of $2(k^3 - k)$ subsets of $[1, k]$:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ (A_j^\rightarrow, A_j^\leftarrow : j = k + 1, \ldots, k^3) : A_j^\rightarrow, A_j^\leftarrow \subset [1, k] \text{ for all } j \}.$$ 

Observe that for any vector $(A_j^\rightarrow, A_j^\leftarrow)_{j}$ of such (deterministic) subsets, the events $\{ V_j^\rightarrow = A_j^\rightarrow \}$, $\{ V_j^\leftarrow = A_j^\leftarrow \}$, $j \in [k + 1, k^3]$, are independent. Therefore, with indices $j$ and $j'$ running over $[k + 1, k^3]$,

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{(A_j^\rightarrow, A_j^\leftarrow) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}\left( \cap_{j} (F_j^\rightarrow \cap F_j^\leftarrow) \cap \cap_{j'} \{ V_{j'}^\rightarrow = A_{j'}^\rightarrow, V_{j'}^\leftarrow = A_{j'}^\leftarrow \} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{(A_j^\rightarrow, A_j^\leftarrow) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}(\cap_{j} (F_j^\rightarrow \cap F_j^\leftarrow) | \cap_{j'} (V_{j'}^\rightarrow = A_{j'}^\rightarrow, V_{j'}^\leftarrow = A_{j'}^\leftarrow)) \mathbb{P}(\cap_{j'} (V_{j'}^\rightarrow = A_{j'}^\rightarrow, V_{j'}^\leftarrow = A_{j'}^\leftarrow))$$

$$\geq \sum_{(A_j^\rightarrow, A_j^\leftarrow) \in \mathcal{A}} \prod_{j} \mathbb{P}(F_j^\rightarrow | \cap_{j'} (V_{j'}^\rightarrow = A_{j'}^\rightarrow, V_{j'}^\leftarrow = A_{j'}^\leftarrow)) \mathbb{P}(F_j^\leftarrow | \cap_{j'} (V_{j'}^\rightarrow = A_{j'}^\rightarrow, V_{j'}^\leftarrow = A_{j'}^\leftarrow))$$

$$\cdot \mathbb{P}(\cap_{j'} (V_{j'}^\rightarrow = A_{j'}^\rightarrow, V_{j'}^\leftarrow = A_{j'}^\leftarrow),$$
We can relax the assumption that $G$ is unoriented, but we emphasize that strong connectivity of $G_0$ is not enough for Theorem 5.5 to hold in the same form (see the discussion on Open
Problem 6.8). We only provide the following mild generalization, whose proof is omitted as it is a minor adaptation of the proof of Theorem 5.5. Informally, we start with an unoriented tree $T$ and replace every vertex of $T$ with a graph of bounded size that is strongly connected, so that between $T$-neighboring sets we have edges in both directions. To be more precise, for an integer $R \geq 1$, we say that $G_0$ is $R$-unoriented if there exists an unoriented tree $T$ on a vertex set $V'$, together with a map $\phi : V \to V'$, such that: (1) $|\phi^{-1}(y)| \leq R$ and $\phi^{-1}(y)$ is strongly connected for all $y \in V'$; and (2) if $y_1, y_2$ are neighbors in $T$, then there are $x_1 \in \phi^{-1}(y_1)$ and $x_2 \in \phi^{-1}(y_2)$, such that $x_1 \to x_2 \in E_0$.

Note that 1-unoriented graphs are exactly those with an unoriented spanning tree. For an example with $R = 2$, take $V = [2n]$ and assume $1 \leftrightarrow 2, 3 \leftrightarrow 4, 5 \leftrightarrow 6, \ldots, (2n-1) \leftrightarrow (2n)$ are strongly connected pairs, and add connections $1 \to 3, 2 \leftarrow 4, 3 \to 5, 4 \leftarrow 6$, etc. Here $T$ is a linear graph on $[n]$.

**Theorem 5.6.** If $G_0$ is an $R$-unoriented connected graph, $p_{\text{open}} \geq C \log \log n / \sqrt{\log n}$, and $C \geq C_0(R)$, then $E_\infty$ is a.a.s. saturated.

6 Open problems

For clarity, each unresolved issue is presented in what we view as the simplest context, although most can be studied in much greater generality. We begin with a conjecture about a sharp transition in Catalan percolation.

**Conjecture 6.1.** There exists a critical probability $p_{\text{Cat}}^c \in (0, 1)$ so that for $p < p_{\text{Cat}}^c$ (resp. $p > p_{\text{Cat}}^c$) there exists a constant $C = C(p)$ so that a.a.s. $E_\infty$ in the Catalan percolation process contains no edge (resp. contains all open edges) of length at least $C \log n$.

On the other hand, in the case of $G_0 = L_n$, when both $p_{\text{right}} > 0$ and $p_{\text{left}} > 0$, the interaction between leftward and rightward edges is a challenge.

**Open Problem 6.2.** In the setting of Theorem 1.2, is it true that when $p_{\text{left}} < c \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}}$ and $p_{\text{right}} < a$, a.a.s. $E_\infty$ contains no edges longer than $\alpha \log n$?

For the statements of our remaining open problems, we define

$$p_c = \inf \{ p : \mathbb{P}(V \text{ is saturated}) \geq 1/2 \text{ for all } p_{\text{open}} \geq p \}.$$ 

Perhaps the most pressing remaining question is the correct power of $\log \log n$ for the transition in Theorem 1.1. We suspect neither bound in that theorem is sharp, as the existence of a giant component, rather than connectivity of edge endpoints (as used in the proof of Theorem 5.5) should suffice. We assume the unoriented setting in our next four open problems (i.e., that $G_0$ and $G_{\text{open}}$ are both unoriented) and that $G_{\text{open}}$ is the Erdős–Rényi graph with probability $p_{\text{open}}$ of open edges.

**Conjecture 6.3.** Assume that $G_0$ is the linear graph on $[n]$. Then $p_c = \Theta(\sqrt{\log \log n / \log n})$.
Graphs of bounded diameter are, in a way, at the opposite extreme from graphs of bounded degree. As in the case of bootstrap percolation, the scaling of the critical probability should change dramatically.

**Conjecture 6.4.** Assume that $V = [n]^d$ and that $G_0$ is the Cartesian product of $d$ complete graphs on $[n]$, i.e., the $d$-dimensional Hamming graph. For $d \geq 3$, there exists a power $\gamma = \gamma(d) \in (0, \infty)$ so that, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and large enough $n$, $p_c$ is between $n^{-\gamma-\epsilon}$ and $n^{-\gamma+\epsilon}$.

Observe that the above conjecture does not hold for $d = 2$ (or for any other $G_0$ with diameter 2), in which case all open edges get occupied at time 1, regardless of $p_{\text{open}}$. We suspect that in the setting of Conjecture 6.4 the threshold $p_c$ is not sharp, in the sense of [16]. Indeed, computer simulations suggest that saturation fails close to criticality due to rare open edges with a protective arrangement of nearby closed edges, and that the number of such protective local configurations approaches a Poisson distribution with a parameter that depends continuously on the constant $a$ if $p = ap_c$. By contrast, we conjecture that $p_c$ is sharp in Conjecture 6.3. The methods of [16] (or subsequent work) do not apply in any of these cases, as our random objects (edges) do not play symmetric roles.

Perhaps the most interesting intermediate case is the hypercube, for which we have no guess about the size of $p_c$.

**Open Problem 6.5.** Assume that $G_0$ is the hypercube on $\{0,1\}^n$. What is the asymptotic behavior of $p_c$?

Another natural graph with unbounded degree is the random graph.

**Open Problem 6.6.** Assume $G_0$ is an Erdős–Rényi graph with edge probability $p_{\text{initial}}$. Estimate the probability of saturation, in terms of $p_{\text{initial}}$ and $p_{\text{open}}$.

More complex edge addition dynamics can be considered in polluted environments. Following the lead of [8,4], we consider $K_d$-percolation, whereby we iteratively complete all copies of $K_d$ missing a single edge, where $K_d$ is the complete graph on $d$ points. We assume that $G_0$ the graph on $[n]$ with edges $i \leftrightarrow j$, for all $|i-j| \leq d-2$. Note that this is the simplest initialization that results in saturation when $p_{\text{open}} = 1$. Simulations suggest (see left panel of Fig. 6.1) that nucleation occurs for all $d \geq 3$. The unpolluted ($p_{\text{open}} = 1$) version of this process is analyzed in e.g. [4,5,9,21,2,25,7].

**Conjecture 6.7.** Consider the $K_d$-percolation dynamics, with $G_0$ as above. Then there exists some power $\gamma = \gamma(d) > 0$ so that $p_c = \Theta([\log \log n]^\gamma (\log n)^{-1/(d-1)})$.

Finally, we return to the transitive closure of oriented graphs, with $G_{\text{open}}$ the oriented Erdős–Rényi graph with probability $p_{\text{open}}$ of edges. To understand oriented initial graphs, which are not covered by Theorem 5.6, we may for example assume that $G_0$ is the oriented graph on $[n]$ with edges $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n$ and $1 \leftarrow (1+r) \leftarrow (1+2r) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow (n-r) \leftarrow n$, where the range $r$ of leftward edges may grow with $n$. It is not difficult to see that $p_c$ is bounded away from 0 when $r$ increases linearly with $n$, and that, by Theorem 5.6, $p_c = (\log n)^{-1/2+o(1)}$ when $r$ is bounded.
Figure 6.1: Left: nucleation in $K_4$-percolation with $p_{\text{open}} = 0.39$. Middle and right: illustration of Open Problem 6.8 with $r = 50$, and respective probabilities $p_{\text{open}} = 0.3$, $p_{\text{open}} = 0.37$. In all figures, $n = 400$ and the coloring scheme is similar to the one in Fig. 1.1.

**Open Problem 6.8.** What is the asymptotic behavior of $p_c$, when $1 \ll r \ll n$?

These dynamics are illustrated in the middle and right panels of Fig. 6.1, which suggest that the most likely scenario for saturation is through the early occupation of leftward edges whose lengths are multiples of $r$.

**References**


REFERENCES


