

This collection of Big Bayes Stories could be partitioned into two groups one relating to the sciences, cosmology in particular, with the other relating to public policy, i.e. health, fisheries management, and demographics.

My first comment here is that inferential issues related to the sciences and the shaping and guiding of public policies can only be addressed appropriately by adoption of the Bayesian framework. This is a very strong, and no doubt provocative, statement the opinion of which has been formed by my own experience of working very closely with a range of basic scientists, clinical professionals and econometricians providing support in developing fiscal policy.

The almost wholesale adoption of the Bayesian framework by astronomers and cosmologists is a good case in point where subjective Bayesian inference is viewed as a formal codification of the scientific method and therefore most natural in guiding scientific enquiry.

I have had first-hand experience of this when working with cellular biologists who previously had viewed statistical analysis as the means of providing nothing more than the p-values required by the editors of journals such as Nature. However when presented with the Bayesian formalism of expert informed prior to posterior belief updating the paradigm has been embraced wholeheartedly by cellular biologists and forms the common language of scientific collaboration e.g. Xu et al.

My second comment is that many of the cases presented required a complex statistical model, which of course brings with it associated technical issues, but are most naturally accommodated in the Bayesian framework. When considering the issues of systematically integrating diverse data sources, exploiting model structure to employ sparse measurements, or formally and explicitly quantifying uncertainty induced due to the use of complex computer codes it is hard to see how satisfactory and transparent non-Bayesian solutions would follow.

In summary I have viewed these interesting case studies from the perspective of how feasible the required analysis would be as part of an on-going dialogue between statisticians and scientists or statisticians and policy makers. All of them suggest to me that, to miss-quote Karl Pearson, Bayesian inference provides the 'Grammar of Science'.

[Inferring signaling pathway topologies from multiple perturbation measurements of specific biochemical species](#)

Tian-Rui Xu, Vladislav Vyshemirsky, Amelie Gormand, Alex von Kriegsheim, Mark Girolami, George S Baillie, Dominic Ketley, Allan J Dunlop, Graeme Milligan, Miles D Houslay, Walter Kolch *Science signaling* 3 (113), ra20